


BUILD A PAYMENT STACK YOU ACTUALLY CONTROL

The illusion of simplicity

Choosing a single provider can feel like the simpler option at the outset, with one contract, one integration, and one roadmap to manage. But as payment estates expand across regions, formats, and use cases, that simplicity is hard to maintain. Over time, requirements grow, exceptions emerge, and dependencies increase. As a result, modern payment estates naturally become multi-vendor, and orchestration is what allows you to manage that complexity while staying in control.

Single vendor estate

Simple on day one. Constraining over time.




- A single roadmap you don't control
- You're waiting your turn for basic changes
- To switch, you have to replatform

What choosing "one" really means for your offering:

- Multiple integrations over time as requirements change
- Slower parameter and configuration update
- Dependency on a single vendor's roadmap and priorities
- Higher switching costs mid contract
- Increased operational risk as the estate evolves

Orchestrated estate

One integration layer. Multiple choices.



- Add providers without reintegration
- Control rollout timelines
- Reduce the cost of change

What changes when you design for optionality:

- Freedom to go direct or multi vendor
- Centralized estate control
- Faster change without renegotiation
- Reduced vendor lock in risk
- Easier evolution as requirements change
- Resilience across regions and formats

Designed to evolve, without forcing a rebuild.
Embrace choice. Start with open architecture.

Aevi