



**CIVIL SOCIETY
FINANCING FOR
DEVELOPMENT**
Mechanism

ECOSOC Forum on Financing for Development (FfD4)

NY, 20-24 April, 2026

Riju Dakal, ARROW, on behalf of the CS FfD Mechanism.

Intervention delivered at the session ‘2026 in-depth review: Data, monitoring, and follow-up’, Measuring, monitoring, and following up on Sevilla Commitment implementation.

We must understand that measuring what we do not value will not bring us closer to justice.

The Compromiso de Sevilla contains very minimal commitments on gender equality and without feminist-defined indicators, gender-disaggregated data, and meaningful and inclusive engagement of civil society in setting the metrics. These commitments risk becoming empty benchmarks. The SDG Gender Report 2025 already warned us with the sharp decline in funding for gender data, which is setting back gender equality.

We have three urgent demands for this session:

First, we demand meaningful inclusion of feminists and civil society inputs to the current Financing for Sustainable Development Report (FSDR) cycle, including being able to contribute meaningfully to the setting of indicators metrics of progress, and the meaningful inclusion of feminists and civil society at the national level to strengthen national follow-up. We must be part of setting the parameters, not just reviewing them.

Second, gender-disaggregated data must be mandatory including on unpaid care work, Sexual and Reproductive Health and Rights outcomes, and women's access to public services. This data must be made publicly accessible at national and regional levels.

Third, we call for a specific session on financing for development to be made a permanent feature of the high-level debates and particularly,

integrated in the Regional Forums on Sustainable Development (RFSDs), alongside the annual review of 2030 Agenda's non-financing aspects of means of implementation, to provide a meaningful mechanism for feminists and civil society from local to national to regional levels.

We also stress: the lived experiences of communities must count as evidence in review processes, recognizing the fact that data is not neutral. Who collects it, who defines it, and who can access are important questions.

Thank you.