

## GLOBAL EVOLUTION FONDSMÆGLERSELSKAB A/S

# RTS 28 / ARTICLE 65(6) BEST EXECUTION REPORT 2024 CALENDAR YEAR

Document type: RTS 28 / Article 65(6) Best Execution Report for the 2024 Calendar Year – Public

Recipient(s): Public

Date: February 2025

Global Evolution Asset Management A/S • Buen 11, 2nd floor, 6000 Kolding • Denmark • +45 79 32 11 11 • www.globalevolution.com • CVR.no.: 30 60 21 53 • FSA Registration Number: 8193



## **CONTENTS**

Introduction	
RTS28/Article 65(6) Qualitative Reporting relevant to all classes of financial instruments noted in this report	
Debt Instruments	
RTS 28 – Bonds	6
Article 65(6) – Bonds	
Interest Rate Derivatives	8
RTS 28 – Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue	8
Article 65(6) – Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue	
Currency Derivatives	10
RTS 28 – Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives	10
Article 65(6) – Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives	1



### Introduction

In accordance with the EU's Markets in Financial Instruments Directive (MiFID II) and MiFID Org Regulation, Global Evolution Fondsmæglerselskab A/S ("Global Evolution", including the trading of its UK branch) is required to publish annually its top 5 execution venues for each class of financial instrument traded in a calendar year.

This reporting is split by:

- financial instruments which Global Evolution has traded directly with an execution venue (e.g. a Multilateral-Trading Facility ("MTF")) this is specifically referred to in this report as RTS 28 reporting; and
- financial instruments which Global Evolution has traded, but instead this has been executed after placing an order for trading with another investment firm (e.g. a broker / counterparty) this is specifically referred to in this report as Article 65(6) reporting.

### RTS28/Article 65(6) Qualitative Reporting relevant to all classes of financial instruments noted in this report

Requirement to report	Global Evolution response
Description of the relative importance the firm gave to the execution	Generally Global Evolution will consider the price of the financial
factors of price, costs, speed and likelihood of execution or any other	instrument and the costs related to the transaction as the most
consideration including qualitative factors when assessing the quality	important factors. In determining the importance given to other
of execution	execution factors, such as size, speed, likelihood of execution and
	settlement, nature of the order or any other consideration relevant
	to the execution of the order, Global Evolution will exercise its
	discretion in assessing the criteria that need to be taken into account
	to obtain the best possible result. The relative importance of these
	criteria will be assessed on the basis of the commercial experience
	represented at Global Evolution and the need for timely and efficient
	execution in the relevant market conditions. Availability of price
	improvement, the liquidity of the market and size of orders and the

Global Evolution Asset Management A/S • Buen 11, 2nd floor, 6000 Kolding • Denmark • +45 79 32 11 11 • www.globalevolution.com • CVR.no.: 30 60 21 53 • FSA Registration Number: 8193



Description of any close links, conflicts of interest or any ownership rights of execution venues used to execute trades	potential impact on price will be considered. In certain circumstances therefore Global Evolution may determine that the speed and likelihood of execution and settlement for example may take precedence over immediate price and cost factors if they are instrumental in delivering the best possible result. This may be the case for example for large orders in less liquid financial instruments.  Global Evolution does not have any close links or conflicts of interest (including ownership rights) with any execution venues used to
	execute trades.
Specific arrangements with any execution venues with respect to payments made or received, discounts, rebates or other non-monetary benefits	Global Evolution does not receive any payments, discounts, rebates or non-monetary benefits in respect of trading.
Explanation of how order execution differs according to client categorisation, where the firm treats categories of clients differently and where it may affect order execution	Global Evolution only undertakes regulated activity for professional clients, but each professional client is regarded in exactly the same way when applying the firm's Order Execution Policy.
Explanation of whether other criteria were given precedence over immediate price and cost when executing retail client orders and how these other criteria were instrumental in delivering the best possible result in terms of the total consideration to the client	Global Evolution only undertakes regulated activities for professional clients.
Explanation of how the investment firm has used output of a consolidated tape provider established under Article 65 of MiFID II	Global Evolution does not use the output of a consolidated tape provider.
Explanation of the factors that led to a change in the list of execution venues listed in the firm's execution policy, if such change occurred	The prevailing list of approved counterparties is available from Global Evolution's website: <a href="http://www.globalevolution.com">http://www.globalevolution.com</a> Changes are made to the venues listed when counterparty performance dictates and/or because of regulatory / operational events.



Explanation of how the investment firm has used any data or tools relating to the quality of execution, including any data published under Commission Delegated Regulation (EU) 2017/575

Global Evolution monitors trading of financial instruments on a 'Request For Quote' basis, by assessing the quotes obtained from underlying counterparties on the MTF venues used, to determine that the best quoted price has been used (or assessing, on an exceptions basis, the circumstances of the execution should the best quote not be selected).

Global Evolution is considering using RTS 27 reporting and/or Transaction Cost Analysis from providers, depending on the financial instrument, to assist in the assessment of quality of execution provided.



### **Debt Instruments**

### RTS 28 - Bonds

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	More than 1 trade on average				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of volume traded orders executed as a percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Citigroup Global Markets Limited (LEI: XKZZ2JZF41MRHTR1V493)	14.73%	13.87%	N/A	N/A	N/A
JP Morgan Securities Plc (LEI: K6Q0W1PS1L1O4IQL9C32)	13.23%	11.92%	N/A	N/A	N/A
HSBC Continental EUROPE SA (LEI: F0HUI1NY1AZMJMD8LP67)	6.98%	7.46%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Goldman Sachs (LEI: W22LROWP2IHZNBB6K528)	6.66%	4.86%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Bank of America (LEI: 549300FH0WJAPEHTIQ77)	6.42%	6.67%	N/A	N/A	N/A



### Article 65(6) - Bonds

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	N/A - No trading				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



### **Interest Rate Derivatives**

### RTS 28 - Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	Yes - Less than 1 trade on average per business day				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of volume traded orders executed as a percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Chicago Board of Trade (MIC: XCBT)	100%	100%	N/A	N/A	N/A



### Article 65(6) - Futures and options admitted to trading on a trading venue

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	N/A – No trading				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A



## **Currency Derivatives**

### RTS 28 - Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	More than 1 trade on average				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of volume traded orders executed as a percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
Bank of New York Mellon (LEI: HPFHU0OQ28E4N0NFVK49)	77.15%	92.99%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Standard Chartered (GB) (LEI: RILFO74KP1CM8P6PCT96)	11.02%	3.42%	N/A	N/A	N/A
BNP Paribas (LEI: ROMUWSFPU8MPRO8K5P83)	5.12%	0.85%	N/A	N/A	N/A
JP Morgan AG (LEI: 549300ZK53CNGEEI6A29)	2.25%	1.11%	N/A	N/A	N/A
Citi Dublin (FX) (LEI: N1FBEDJ5J41VKZLO2475)	2.07%	0.57%	N/A	N/A	N/A



### Article 65(6) - Swaps, forwards, and other currency derivatives

Notification if <1 average trade per business day in the previous year	N/A – No trading				
Top five execution venues ranked in terms of trading volumes (descending order)	Proportion of volume traded as a percentage of total in that class	Proportion of orders executed as percentage of total in that class	Percentage of passive orders	Percentage of aggressive orders	Percentage of directed orders
N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A