

2012:09

DRAFT VERSION 3.0.0 FOR OPEN CONSULTATION. DO NOT USE OR CITE.

VALID UNTIL: TO BE ADDED BY SECRETARIAT



# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

1	Introduction				
2	Gene	General information			
	2.1	Administrative information	5		
	2.2	Scope of PCR	6		
3	PCR review and background information				
	3.1	Open consultation	7		
	3.2	PCR review	7		
	3.3	Existing PCRs for the product category	8		
	3.4	Reasoning for development of PCR			
	3.5	Underlying studies used for PCR development	8		
4	Goal and scope, life cycle inventory and life cycle impact assessment				
	4.1	Declared unit	9		
	4.2	Technical specification, lifespan and reference service life (RSL)	ç		
	4.3	System boundary	🤅		
	4.4	System diagram	12		
	4.5	Cut-off rules	12		
	4.6	Allocation rules	12		
	4.7	Data quality requirements and selection of data	13		
	4.8	Environmental performance indicators	16		
	4.9	Including multiple products in the same EPD	17		
5	Cont	ent and format of EPD	19		
	5.1	EPD languages	19		
	5.2	Units and quantities	19		
	5.3	Use of images in EPD	20		
	5.4	EPD reporting format	20		
6	List o	List of abbreviations.			
7	References				
8	Version history of PCR				



# INTRODUCTION TO OPEN CONSULTATION

This draft PCR is available for open consultation until 2023-11-29.

Feel free to forward the draft to any other stakeholder you might think is relevant, including colleagues and other organisations.

We are interested in comments from stakeholders on:

- General
  - Alignment with PCRs available in other programmes for type III environmental declarations, industry-specific LCA guides or similar.
- Scope of PCR
  - Product category definition and description
  - Classification of product category using CPC codes
- Goal and scope, life cycle inventory and life cycle impact assessment
  - o Functional unit/declared unit
  - System boundary
  - Allocation rules
  - o Data quality requirements
  - o Recommended databases for generic data
  - o Impact categories and impact assessment methodology
- Additional information

Comments may be sent directly to the PCR Moderator (contact details available in Section 1). There is a template for comments on <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> that may be used.

For questions about the PCR, please contact the PCR moderator. For general questions about the International EPD® System, EPD or PCR development, please contact the Secretariat via <a href="mailto:pcr@environdec.com">pcr@environdec.com</a>.



# 1 INTRODUCTION

This document constitutes Product Category Rules (PCR) developed in the framework of the International EPD® System: a programme for type III environmental declarations¹ according to ISO 14025:2006, ISO 14040:2006, ISO 14044:2006, and product-specific standards such as EN 15804 and ISO 21930 for construction products. Environmental Product Declarations (EPD) are voluntary documents for a company or organisation to present transparent, consistent and verifiable information about the environmental performance of their products (goods or services).

The rules for the overall administration and operation of the programme are the General Programme Instructions (GPI), publicly available at <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>. A PCR complements the GPI and the normative standards by providing specific rules, requirements and guidelines for developing an EPD for one or more specific product categories (see Figure 1). A PCR should enable different practitioners using the PCR to generate consistent results when assessing products of the same product category.




Figure 1 The hierarchy between PCRs, standards and other documents.

Within the present PCR, the following terminology is adopted:

- The term "shall" is used to indicate what is obligatory, i.e. a requirement.
- The term "should" is used to indicate a recommendation, rather than a requirement. Any deviation from a "should" requirement shall be justified in the PCR development process.
- The terms "may" or "can" is used to indicate an option that is permissible.

For definitions of further terms used in the document, see the normative standards.

A PCR is valid for a pre-determined period of time to ensure that it is updated at regular intervals. The latest version of the PCR is available at <a href="www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>. Stakeholder feedback on PCRs is very much encouraged. Any comments on this PCR may be sent directly to the PCR Moderator and/or the Secretariat during its development or during its period of validity.

Any references to this document shall include the PCR registration number, name and version.

The programme operator maintains the copyright of the document to ensure that it is possible to publish, update, and make it available to all organisations to develop and register EPDs. Stakeholders participating in PCR development should be acknowledged in the final document and on the website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Type III environmental declarations in the International EPD® System are referred to as EPDs, Environmental Product Declarations.



# 2 GENERAL INFORMATION

# 2.1 ADMINISTRATIVE INFORMATION

Name:	Site remediation and clean up services, soil and groundwater		
Registration number and version:	2012:09, version 3.0.0		
Programme:	THE INTERNATIONAL EPD® SYSTEM		
	The International EPD® System		
Programme operator:	EPD International AB, Box 210 60, SE-100 31 Stockholm, Sweden.		
	Website: <a href="mailto:www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> E-mail: <a href="mailto:info@environdec.com">info@environdec.com</a>		
PCR Moderator:	Leo Breedveld, 2B Srl, breedveld@to-be.it		
PCR Committee:	SAIPEM and 2B Srl		
Date of publication and last revision:	To be added by the Secretariat		
Valid until:	To be added by the Secretariat		
Schedule for renewal:	A PCR is valid for a pre-determined time period to ensure that it is updated at regular intervals. When the PCR is about to expire, the PCR Moderator shall initiate a discussion with the Secretariat how to proceed with updating the PCR and renewing its validity.		
	A PCR may be also be updated without prolonging its period of validity, provided significant and well-justified proposals for changes or amendments are presented.		
	See www.environdec.com for the latest version of the PCR.		
	When there has been an update of the PCR, the new version should be used to develop EPDs. The old version may however be used for 90 days after the publication date of the new version, as long as the old version has not expired.		
Standards and documents conformance:	General Programme Instructions of the International EPD® System, version 4.0, based on ISO 14025 and ISO 14040/14044		
	PCR Basic Module, CPC Division 94 Sewage and waste collection, treatment and disposal and other environmental protection services, version 3.0, dated 2018-05-03		
PCR language(s):	At the time of publication, this PCR was available in English. If the PCR is available in several languages, these are available at <a href="www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> . In case of translated versions, the English version takes precedence in case of any discrepancies.		



# 2.2 SCOPE OF PCR

# 2.2.1 PRODUCT CATEGORY DEFINITION AND DESCRIPTION

This document provides Product Category Rules (PCR) for the assessment of the environmental performance of Site remediation and clean up services, soil and groundwater and the declaration of this performance by an EPD. The product category corresponds to UN CPC Subclass 94413 (Site remediation and clean-up services, soil and groundwater).

For a general overview, the overall classification of the code CPC 94 is here presented:

- Division 94 Sewage and waste collection, treatment and disposal and other environmental protection services
  - Group 944 Remediation services
    - Class 9441 Site remediation and clean-up services
      - Subclass 94411 Site remediation and clean-up services, air
      - Subclass 94412 Site remediation and clean-up services, surface water
    - · Subclass 94413 Site remediation and clean-up services, soil and groundwater (this PCR)

For the purposes of this PCR, the product group "remediation services" refers to any activity related to the implementation of authorized plans for the remediation of soil and groundwater on a contaminated site that meets requirements specified by legislation or regulation and aimed to eliminate environmental pollution or to reduce pollutant concentration (in soil, subsoil, surface and subsurface water) until reaching target concentration.

In any case, the LCA and the EPD are based on a specific site remediation project, and comparison within different techniques applied on different sites is not supported by this PCR. Comparison shall be performed among different techniques applied on the same remediation site and having the same remediation target.

Assessment of laboratory analysis for site characterization, control and monitoring services are not included in the goal of this PCR.

For more information about the classification, see https://unstats.un.org/unsd/classifications/Family/Detail/1074.

# 2.2.2 GEOGRAPHICAL SCOPE

This PCR may be used globally.

# 2.2.3 EPD VALIDITY

An EPD based on this PCR shall be valid for a 5-year period starting from the date of the verification report ("approval date"), or until the EPD has been de-registered from the International EPD® System.

An EPD shall be updated and re-verified during its validity if changes in technology or other circumstances have led to:

- an increase of 10% or more of any of the declared indicators of environmental impact,
- errors in the declared information, or
- significant changes to the declared product information, content declaration, or additional environmental, social or economic information.

If such changes have occurred, but the EPD is not updated, the EPD owner shall contact the Secretariat to de-register the EPD.



# 3 PCR REVIEW AND BACKGROUND INFORMATION

This PCR was developed in accordance with the PCR development process described in the GPI of the International EPD® System, including open consultation and review.

# 3.1 OPEN CONSULTATION

#### 3.1.1 VERSION 1.X

This PCR was available for open consultation from 2012-06-12 until 2012-07-31 (Version 1.0) and from 2014-04-02 until 2014-04-16 (Version 1.1).

# 3.1.2 VERSION 2.0

This PCR was available for open consultation from 2018-09-26 until 2018-11-26, during which any stakeholder was able to provide comments by posting on the PCR forum on <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> or by contacting the PCR moderator.

Stakeholders were invited via e-mail or other means to take part in the open consultation and were encouraged to forward the invitation to other relevant stakeholders. The following stakeholders provided comments during the open consultation and agreed to be listed as contributors to the PCR and at <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>:

Angela Fisher, Aspire Sustainability.

#### 3.1.3 VERSION 3.0

This PCR was available for open consultation from 2023-09-29 until 2023-11-29, during which any stakeholder was able to provide comments by contacting the PCR Moderator and/or the Secretariat.

Add information about any physical or web-based meetings held during the open consultation phase, if applicable.

Stakeholders were invited via e-mail or other means to take part in the open consultation and were encouraged to forward the invitation to other relevant stakeholders. The following stakeholders provided comments during the open consultation and agreed to be listed as contributors in the PCR and at <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>.

List of stakeholder names and affiliation (to be added after the open consultation).

#### 3.2 PCR REVIEW

# 3.2.1 VERSION 1.0

Version 1.0 was reviewed by the Technical Committee of the International EPD® System.

# 3.2.2 VERSION 2.0

PCR review panel:	The Technical Committee of the International EPD® System. A full list of members available on <a href="mailto:www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> . The review panel may be contacted via <a href="mailto:info@environdec.com">info@environdec.com</a> .	
	Members of the Technical Committee were requested to state any potential conflict of interest with the PCR moderator or PCR committee, and were excused from the review.	
Chair of the PCR review:	Lars-Gunnar Lindfors	
Review dates:	2019-02-28 until 2019-03-25	



#### 3.2.3 VERSION 3.0

PCR review panel:	The Technical Committee of the International EPD® System. A full list of members is available at <a href="mailto:www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a> . The review panel may be contacted via <a href="mailto:info@environdec.com">info@environdec.com</a> .
	Members of the Technical Committee were requested to state any potential conflict of interest with the PCR Committee, and if there were conflicts of interest they were excused from the review.
Chair of the PCR review:	To be added by the Secretariat
Review dates:	To be added by the Secretariat

# 3.3 EXISTING PCRS FOR THE PRODUCT CATEGORY

As part of the development of this PCR, existing PCRs and other internationally standardized methods that could potentially act as PCRs were considered to avoid unnecessary overlaps in scope and to ensure harmonisation with established methods of relevance for the product category. The existence of such documents was checked among the following EPD programmes and international standardisation bodies:

- International EPD® System. www.environdec.com
- All other programs listed at <a href="https://www.environdec.com/global-network/intl-cooperation">https://www.environdec.com/global-network/intl-cooperation</a>

No existing PCRs or other relevant internationally standardized methods with overlapping scope were identified.

# 3.4 REASONING FOR DEVELOPMENT OF PCR

This PCR was developed to enable publication of EPDs for this product category based on ISO 14025 and ISO 14040/14044. The PCR enables different practitioners to generate consistent results when assessing the environmental impact of products of the same product category, and thereby it supports comparability of products within a product category.

# 3.5 UNDERLYING STUDIES USED FOR PCR DEVELOPMENT

The methodological choices made during the development of this PCR (declared unit, system boundary, allocation methods, impact categories, data quality rules, etc.) were primarily based on the following underlying studies:

- <a href="https://frtr.gov/">https://frtr.gov/</a>, Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (FRTR)
- Morais S., and Delerue-Matos C., (2009). A perspective on LCA application in site remediation services: Critical review of challenges. Journal of Hazardous Materials 175 (2010) 12–22
- Lemming G., Hauschild M., (2009). Life cycle assessment of soil and groundwater remediation technologies: literature review.
   Int J Life Cycle Assess (2010) 15:115–127



# 4 GOAL AND SCOPE, LIFE CYCLE INVENTORY AND LIFE CYCLE IMPACT ASSESSMENT

The goal of this section is to provide specific rules, requirements and guidelines for developing an EPD for the product category as defined in Section 2.2.1.

#### 4.1 DECLARED UNIT

The declared unit shall be defined as the remediation of 1 m<sup>3</sup> of soil (in case of soil remediation) or 1 m<sup>3</sup> of water (in case of groundwater remediation), until it reaches a level of contamination that meets the requirements of authorised plans, regulations or legislation.

The reference flow shall be defined as 1 m<sup>3</sup> of treated soil (in case of soil remediation) or 1 m<sup>3</sup> of treated water (in case of groundwater remediation).

In case both soil and groundwater are involved in the remediation service, data shall refer to soil.

This PCR uses a declared unit instead of a functional unit. This is because not all relevant functional aspects are possible to capture in one or a few predefined functional units. All relevant functional aspects shall, however, be taken into consideration when comparing EPDs based on this PCR.

# 4.2 TECHNICAL SPECIFICATION, LIFESPAN AND REFERENCE SERVICE LIFE (RSL)

Not applicable for this product category.

# 4.3 SYSTEM BOUNDARY

The scope of this PCR and EPDs based on it is cradle-to-grave.

All environmentally relevant processes from "cradle to grave" should be included, so that at minimum 99% of the total energy use, mass of product content, and environmental impact is accounted for (see Section 4.5).

# 4.3.1 LIFE-CYCLE STAGES

For the purpose of different data quality rules and for the presentation of results, the life cycle of the product is divided into three life cycle stages:

- Upstream processes (from cradle-to-gate)
- Core processes (from gate-to-gate)
- Downstream processes (from gate-to-grave)

In the EPD, the environmental performance associated with each of the three life-cycle stages above shall be reported separately and in aggregated form. The processes included in the scope of the PCR and belonging to each life cycle stage are described in Sections 4.3.1.1–4.3.1.3.

# 4.3.1.1. Upstream processes

The following unit processes are part of the product system and shall be classified as upstream processes:

- generation of electricity and production of fuels, steam and other energy carriers used in upstream processes,
- extraction, transport and refining of materials and components used in the core processes (concrete, gravel, piping),
- recycling processes of secondary materials from other product life cycles,
- the manufacturing of the primary and secondary packaging used for components needed for the service, and
- transports needed for the upstream processes.

Upstream processes not listed may also be included. All elementary flows at resource extraction shall be included, except for the flows that fall under the general cut-off rule in Section 4.5.

For modelling of infrastructure and capital goods, see Section 4.3.2.

#### 4.3.1.2. Core processes

The following unit processes are part of the product system and shall be classified as core processes:

- external transportation to the core processes,
- remediation plant setup and dismantling (excavation for piping interconnection, wells drilling and casing, concrete platform for the equipment),
- equipment installation and dismantling,
- storage,
- operation of the service,
- maintenance of buildings more frequent than every three years,
- chemicals and consumables (oxidants, active carbon) used in the core processes,
- business travel of personnel,
- waste treatment of waste generated in the core processes, including transportation, and
- generation of electricity and production of fuels, steam and other energy carriers used in core processes.

Core processes not listed may also be included. The production of the raw materials used for production of all product parts shall be included. A minimum of 99% of the total weight of the declared product including packaging shall be included.

The following processes shall not be included:

- manufacturing of production equipment, buildings and other capital goods,
- travel to and from work by personnel, and
- research and development activities.

For modelling of infrastructure and capital goods, see Section 4.3.2.

#### 4.3.1.3. Downstream processes

The service of soil and groundwater remediation does not typically have downstream processes, e.g. plant dismantling and generated waste are included in the core module.

If the specific remediation technique includes downstream processes, they shall be defined in the EPD.

# 4.3.2 INFRASTRUCTURE AND CAPITAL GOODS

In general, the production and end-of-life processes of infrastructure or capital goods<sup>2</sup> used in the product system should not be included within the system boundaries. They may be included when infrastructure and capital goods are known to be relevant in terms of their environmental impact, or when a generic LCI dataset includes infrastructure/capital goods, and it is not possible, within reasonable effort, to subtract the data on infrastructure/capital goods from this dataset. If an infrastructure/capital good is produced with the intention to be used one or a few times only (e.g., a manufacturing plant or machinery constructed to produce only one product), this infrastructure/capital good shall be included.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Examples of infrastructure and capital goods are the building in which the upstream materials or components are produced, machinery used in the manufacturing of the product or its materials or components, or vehicles used in transports in the product system. For example, if the EPD is on wind power, the power plant itself is considered the studied product and not infrastructure/capital goods. However, the buildings and machinery that make the wind turbine components are considered infrastructure/capital goods. Similarly, if the EPD is on a means of transport, the vehicle is considered the studied product and not infrastructure/capital goods.



The inclusion or exclusion of infrastructure/capital goods shall be transparently described for upstream, core and downstream processes in the LCA report and in the EPD.

If infrastructure/capital goods are included, the following disclaimer shall be included in the results sections of the LCA report and in the EPD (land use and toxicity indicators shall only be mentioned if declared in the EPD):

The results of the impact categories abiotic depletion of minerals and metals, land use, human toxicity (cancer), human toxicity, non-cancer and ecotoxicity (freshwater) may be highly uncertain in LCAs that include capital goods/infrastructure in generic datasets, in case infrastructure/capital goods contribute greatly to the total results. This is because the LCI data of infrastructure/capital goods used to quantify these indicators in currently available generic datasets sometimes lack temporal, technological and geographical representativeness. Caution should be exercised when using the results of these indicators for decision-making purposes.

#### 4.3.3 OTHER BOUNDARY SETTING

#### 4.3.3.1. Boundary towards nature

Boundaries to nature are defined as where the flows of material and energy resources leave nature and enter the technical system (i.e. the product system). Emissions cross the system boundary to nature when they are emitted to air, soil or water.

#### 4.3.3.2. Boundary towards other technical systems

Boundaries towards other technical systems define the flow of materials and components to/from the product system under study and from/to other product systems. If there is an inflow of recycled material to the product system in the production/manufacturing stage, the transport from the scrapyard/collection site to the recycling plant, the recycling process, and the transportation from the recycling plant to the site where the material is being used shall be included. If there is an outflow of material or component to recycling, the transportation of the material to the scrapyard/collection site shall be included. The material or component going to recycling is then an outflow from the product system.

See Section 4.6 for further guidance.

#### 4.3.3.3. Temporal boundary

The temporal boundary defines the time period for which the life cycle inventory data is recorded, e.g. for how long emissions from waste deposits are accounted. As default, the time period over which inputs to and outputs from the product system is accounted for shall be 100 years from the year that the LCA model best represents, considering the representativeness of the inventory data. This year shall, as far as possible, represent the year of the publication of the EPD.

#### 4.3.3.4. Geographical boundary

The geographical boundary defines the geographical coverage of the LCA. This shall reflect the physical reality of the service under study, accounting for the representativeness of technology, input materials and input energy.



### 4.4 SYSTEM DIAGRAM




Figure 2 System diagram illustrating the processes that shall be included in the product system, divided into upstream, core and downstream processes. The illustration of processes to include may not be exhaustive.

# 4.5 CUT-OFF RULES

A cut-off rule of 1% shall be applied. In other words, the included inventory data (not including inventory data of processes that are explicitly outside the system boundary as described in Section 4.3) shall together give rise to at least 99% of the results of any of the environmental impact categories. Also, 99% of the mass of the product content and 99% of the energy use of the product life cycle shall be accounted for. The cut-off of inventory data should, however, be avoided, and all available inventory data shall be used.

The cut-off of inventory data, based on the above cut-off rule, should be an output of a sensitivity analysis, alone or in combination with expert judgment based on experience of similar product systems. Furthermore, the cut-off shall be possible to be verify in the verification process, hence the exclusion of inventory data based on the cut-off rule shall be documented in the LCA report, and the EPD developer shall provide the information the verifier considers necessary to verify the cut-off.

# 4.6 ALLOCATION RULES

Allocation can be divided into allocation of co-products, i.e. allocation of unit processes that generate several products, and allocation of waste, i.e. allocation of unit processes that generate materials that are, for example, landfilled recovered, recycled or reused, and which require further processing to cease being waste and become products (see criteria for end-of-waste state in Section 4.6.2).

The principles for allocation of co-products and allocation of waste are described separately in the following subsections

#### 4.6.1 CO-PRODUCT ALLOCATION

The following hierarchy of allocation methods shall be followed for co-product allocation:

- Allocation shall be avoided, if possible, by dividing the process to be allocated into sub-processes and collecting the inventory data for each sub-process.
- 2. If allocation cannot be avoided, the inventory data should be partitioned between the different co-products in a way that reflects the underlying physical relationships between them, i.e. allocation should reflect the way in which the inventory data changes if the quantities of delivered co-products change.
- 3. If a physical relationship between the inventory data and the delivery of co-products cannot be established, the inventory data should be allocated between the co-products in a way that reflects other relationships between them. For example, inventory data might be allocated between co-products in proportion to their economic values. If economic allocation is used, a sensitivity analysis exploring the influence of the choice of the economic value shall be included in the LCA report.

#### 4.6.2 ALLOCATION OF WASTE TREATMENT PROCESSES

Allocation of waste shall follow the polluter pays principle and its interpretation in EN 15804: "processes of waste processing shall be assigned to the product system that generates the waste until the end-of-waste state is reached." The end-of-waste state is reached when all the following criteria for the end-of-waste state are fulfilled (adapted from EN 15804):

- the recovered material, component or product is commonly used for specific purposes;
- a market or demand, identified e.g. by a positive economic value, exists for such a recovered material, component or product;
- the recovered material, component or product fulfils the technical requirements for the specific purposes and meets the existing legislation and standards applicable to products; and
- the use of the recovered material, product or construction element will not lead to overall adverse environmental or human health impacts.

The above outlined principle means that the generator of the waste shall carry the full environmental impact until the point in the product life cycle in which the end-of-waste criteria are fulfilled. Waste may have a negative economic market value, and then the end-of-waste stage is typically reached after (part of) the waste processing and further refinement, at the point at which the waste no longer has a negative market value. This allocation method is (in most cases) in line with a waste generator's juridical and financial responsibilities. See the GPI for further information and examples.

# 4.7 DATA QUALITY REQUIREMENTS AND SELECTION OF DATA

Life cycle inventory data are classified into specific data and generic data, where the latter can be selected generic data or proxy data. The data categories are defined as follows:

- specific data (also referred to as "primary data" or "site-specific data"):
  - data gathered from the actual manufacturing plant where product-specific processes are carried out;
  - actual data from other parts of the life cycle traced to the product under study, for example site-specific data on the
    production of materials or generation of electricity provided by contracted suppliers, and transportation data on
    distances, means of transportation, load factor, fuel consumption, etc., of contracted transportation providers; and
  - LCI data from databases on transportation and energyware that is combined with actual transportation and energy parameters as listed above.
- generic data (sometimes referred to as "secondary data"), divided into:
  - selected generic data: data (e.g. commercial databases and free databases) that fulfil prescribed data quality requirements for precision, completeness, and representativeness (see below Section 4.7.1),
  - proxy data: data (e.g. commercial databases and free databases) that do not fulfil all of the data quality requirements of "selected generic data".

Specific data shall be used for the core processes. Specific data shall be used for upstream and downstream processes, when available, otherwise generic data may be used. Generic data should be used in cases in which they are representative for the



purpose of the EPD, e.g. for bulk and raw materials on a spot market, if there is a lack of specific data on the final product or if a product consists of many components.

#### 4.7.1 RULES FOR USING GENERIC DATA

For generic data to be classified as "selected generic data", the following requirements apply:

- datasets shall be based on attributional LCA modelling (e.g., not be based on marginal data and not include credits from system expansion),
- the reference year shall be as current as possible and should be representative for the validity period of the EPD,
- the 1% cut-off rule (as described in Section A.3.3 of the GPI) shall be met on the level of the product system,
- datasets shall represent average values for a specific reference year; however, how data are generated could vary, e.g. over time, and then they should have the form of a representative annual average value for a specified reference period (such deviations shall be justified and declared in the EPD), and
- the representativeness of the data shall be assessed to be better than ±5%, in terms of the environmental impact calculated on the basis of the data, of data that is fully representative for the given temporal, technological and geographical context.

If selected generic data that meets the above data quality requirements are not available, proxy data may be used. The environmental impacts associated with proxy data shall not exceed 10% of the overall environmental impact of the product system.

The EPD may include a data quality declaration to demonstrate the share of specific data, selected generic data and proxy data contributing to the results of the environmental impact indicators.

#### 4.7.2 EXAMPLES OF DATABASES FOR GENERIC DATA

Commercial or publicly available databases that meet the data quality requirements may be used. An incomplete list includes Ecoinvent, Gabi databases, U.S. Life Cycle Inventory Database, LCDN nodes (includes ILCD, now dismissed). Please note that a data quality assessment shall be performed also for data listed, and that other data that fulfil the data quality requirements may also be used.

# 4.7.3 DATA QUALITY REQUIREMENTS AND OTHER MODELLING GUIDANCE PER LIFE-CYCLE STAGE

Below are further data quality requirement per life-cycle stage. Exceptions to the requirements may be accepted, if justified in the EPD; such exceptions are subject to the approval by the verifier on a case-to-case basis.

#### 4.7.3.1. Upstream processes

- Data referring to processes upstream in a supply chain over which the EPD owner has direct management control shall be specific and collected on site.
- Data referring to processes of a supplier of main parts, packaging, or main auxiliaries should be requested from the supplier as specific data, as well as infrastructure, where relevant.
- Data on transport of main parts and components along the supply chain to a distribution point (e.g. a stockroom or warehouse)
  where the final delivery to the manufacturer can take place, should be specific and based on the actual transportation mode,
  distance from the supplier, and vehicle load.
- In case specific data is lacking, selected generic data may be used. If this is also lacking, proxy data may be used (see Section 4.7).
- For upstream processes modelled with specific data, generation of electricity used shall be accounted for in this priority:
  - 1. Specific electricity mix as generated, or purchased from an electricity supplier, demonstrated by a Guarantee of Origin or similar as provided by the electricity supplier.
  - 2. Residual electricity mix of the electricity supplier on the market.



- Residual electricity mix on the market<sup>3</sup>.
- 4. Electricity consumption mix on the market4.

The residual electricity mix is the mix when all contract-specific electricity that has been sold to other customers has been subtracted from the total consumption mix.

"The market" in the above hierarchy shall be defined as being the (residual or consumption) grid mix of the country where the electricity is used, with exceptions for specified countries for which a sub-national electricity grid mix shall be used: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, and USA.

The mix of electricity used in upstream processes shall be documented in the EPD, where relevant.

Packaging: specific data shall be used for production of consumer packaging, if the production is under the direct control of the EPD owner or if the environmental impact of the production is more than 10% of the declared results in any of the environmental performance indicators. In other cases, generic data may be used. When consumer packaging shows the organization's logo, the LCA report should report the exerted/non-exerted direct control on the production of consumer packaging by the organization.

#### 4.7.3.2. Core processes

- Transport from the final delivery point of raw materials, chemicals, main parts, and components (see above regarding upstream processes) to the manufacturing plant/place of service provision should be based on the actual transportation mode, distance from the supplier, and vehicle load, if available.
- Specific data shall be used for the consumption of materials, chemicals, steam, heat, electricity, etc., necessary for execution of the service
- For electricity used in the core processes, generation of electricity used shall be accounted for in this priority:
  - 1. Specific electricity mix as generated, or purchased from an electricity supplier, demonstrated by a Guarantee of Origin or similar as provided by the electricity supplier.
  - 2. Residual electricity mix of the electricity supplier on the market.
  - Residual electricity mix on the market<sup>5</sup>.
  - 4. Electricity consumption mix on the market<sup>6</sup>. This option shall not be used for electricity used in processes over which the manufacturer (EPD owner) has direct control, as long as the composition of the residual grid mix has been publicly disclosed<sup>7</sup>.

The residual electricity mix is the mix when all contract-specific electricity that has been sold to other customers has been subtracted from the total consumption mix.

"The market" in the above hierarchy shall be defined as being the (residual or consumption) grid mix of the country where the electricity is used, with exceptions for specified countries for which a sub-national electricity grid mix shall be used: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, and USA.

The mix of electricity used in the core processes shall be documented in the EPD, where relevant.

Waste treatment processes of manufacturing waste should be based on specific data, if available.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The composition of the residual grid mixes on the market are available for all EU countries and a few additional European countries through the Association for Issuing Bodies (AIB) at https://www.aib-net.org/facts/european-residual-mix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> For electricity markets without trade of Guarantees of Origin (or similar), the residual mix will, however, be identical to the consumption mix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> The composition of the residual grid mixes on the market are available for all EU countries and a few additional European countries through the Association for Issuing Bodies (AIB) at https://www.aib-net.org/facts/european-residual-mix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> For electricity markets without trade of Guarantees of Origin (or similar), the residual mix will, however, be identical to the consumption mix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> If the composition of the residual grid mix has not been publicly disclosed, the second or third options in the above hierarchy are not feasible and thus the fourth option is the only remaining option (if the first option is not chosen).



#### 4.7.3.3. Downstream processes

The service of remediation of soil and groundwater does not typically involve downstream processes: there is no use of products, plant dismantling and generated waste are included in the core module. If the applied remediation method foresees downstream processes the following requirements apply:

- Data for the use stage are usually based on scenarios, but specific data should be used when available and relevant.
- Data on the emissions from the use stage should be based on documented tests, verified studies in conjunction with average
  or typical product use, or recommendations concerning suitable product use. Whenever applicable, test methods shall be
  internationally recognised.
- The use of electricity in the region/country where the product is used (as specified in the geographical scope of the EPD) shall be accounted for in the following priority:
  - Residual electricity mix on the market<sup>8</sup>.
  - Electricity consumption mix on the market<sup>9</sup>.

The residual electricity mix is the mix when all contract-specific electricity that has been sold to other customers has been subtracted from the total production mix.

"The market" in the above hierarchy shall be defined as being the (residual or consumption) grid mix of the country where the electricity is used, with exceptions for specified countries for which a sub-national electricity grid mix shall be used: Australia, Brazil, Canada, China, India, and USA.

The mix of electricity used in the downstream processes shall be documented in the EPD, where relevant.

- The transport of the product to the customer shall be described in the EPD, where relevant, and be accounted for in this priority:
  - Actual transportation modes and distances to a specific customer or market, representing the geographical scope of the EPD.
  - 2. A weighted average of transportation modes and distances, based on transportation to several customers or markets, representing the geographical scope of the EPD.
  - Calculated as a fixed long transport, such as 1 000 km transport by lorry or 10 000 km by airplane, according to product type.
- Scenarios for the end-of-life stage shall be technically and economically practicable and compliant with current regulations in the relevant geographical region based on the geographical scope of the EPD. Key assumptions regarding the end-of-life stage scenario shall be documented in the LCA report.

# 4.7.4 DATA QUALITY DECLARATION

EPDs may include a declaration of the quality of data used in the LCA calculations.

# 4.8 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE INDICATORS

The EPD shall declare the default environmental performance indicators and their methods as described at the website (<a href="https://www.environdec.com/indicators">https://www.environdec.com/indicators</a>), which includes both inventory indicators and indicators of potential environmental impact. The source and version of the impact assessment methods and characterisations factors used shall be reported in the EPD. Also other indicators may be declared, if justified, see Section 5.4.5.

If the default list of environmental performance indicators and methods at the <a href="https://www.environdec.com/indicators">www.environdec.com/indicators</a> is updated, the previous version of the list is valid in parallel to the new version during a transition period of at least 90 days, as described at the website.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> The composition of the residual grid mixes on the market are available for all EU countries and a few additional European countries through the Association for Issuing Bodies (AIB) at https://www.aib-net.org/facts/european-residual-mix.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>9</sup> For electricity markets without trade of Guarantees of Origin (or similar), the residual mix will, however, be identical to the consumption mix.



Apart from inventory indicators (such as the required and optional inventory indicators listed at <a href="www.environdec.com/indicators">www.environdec.com/indicators</a>), other inventory data may also be declared in the EPD, if relevant and useful for EPD users. Such data shall not be declared in the main body of the EPD, but in an annex.

The need for remediation and clean-up of soil and groundwater is usually linked to the risk that contaminants pose to the human health and the ecosystem. The scope of remediation is to remove or reduce the source of pollution from soil and groundwater having a positive balance between impacts removed and impacts generated by the remediation processes. Thus, the additional environmental performance indicators related to toxicity in Table 1 shall be included.

Impact category	Method	Original reference
Terrestrial ecotoxicity kg 1,4-dichlorobenzene equivalents	Terrestrial ecotoxicity (TETP inf), CML-IA baseline (August 2016)	Oers, L. van, 2015 (http://www.cml.leiden.edu/software/datacmlia.html) Huijbregts, 1999 & 2000
Freshwater aquatic ecotoxicity kg 1,4-dichlorobenzene equivalents	Freshwater aquatic ecotoxicity (FAETP inf), CML-IA baseline (August 2016)	Oers, L. van, 2015 (http://www.cml.leiden.edu/software/datacmlia.html) Huijbregts, 1999 & 2000
Human toxicity kg 1,4-dichlorobenzene equivalents	Human toxicity (HTP inf), CML-IA baseline (August 2016)	Oers, L. van, 2015 (http://www.cml.leiden.edu/software/datacmlia.html) Huijbregts, 1999 & 2000
Particulate matter disease incidences	Particulate matter, Environmental Footprint 3.1 (July 2022)	Andreasi Bassi et al, 2023, doi:10.2760/798894, JRC130796  PM model (Fantke et al., 2016 in UNEP 2016)

Table 1 Mandatory additional environmental performance indicators related to toxicity.

# 4.9 INCLUDING MULTIPLE PRODUCTS IN THE SAME EPD

# 4.9.1 MULTIPLE PRODUCTS FROM THE SAME COMPANY

Several sets of results, reflecting different products, are not allowed to be declared in the same EPD. However, similar products from a single or several manufacturing sites covered by the same PCR and manufactured by the same company with the same major steps in the core processes may be grouped and thereby included in the same EPD. For such an EPD, there are three options:

- For each indicator, declare the average results of the included products. This average shall be weighted according to the production volumes of the included products, if relevant. In this option, the average content shall be declared in the content declaration.
- Declare the results of one of the included products a representative product. The choice of the representative product shall
  be justified in the EPD, using, where applicable, statistical parameters. For example, the choice may be based on production
  volumes. In this option, the content of the representative product shall be declared in the content declaration.
- For each indicator, declare the highest result of the included products (i.e., the results of a "worst-case product", which may be the results of one or several of the included products). In this option, the content declaration shall include the lowest amounts of recycled and biogenic content of the included products and their packaging, respectively, and the information on environmental and hazardous properties of substances shall reflect the highest share and most hazardous such substances contained in the any of the included products.

The first two options are only possible if none of the declared environmental impact indicator results differ by more than 10% between any of the included products. The third option is possible also if variations are larger than 10%.

The option chosen shall be clearly described in the EPD.



# 4.9.2 SECTOR EPDS

The International EPD® System allows for an industry association to develop an EPD in the form of a Sector EPD. A Sector EPD declares the average product of multiple companies in a clearly defined sector in a clearly defined geographical area. Products covered in a sector EPD shall follow the same PCR and the same declared unit shall be applied.

Any communication of the results from a Sector EPD should contain the information that the results are based on averages obtained from the sector as defined in the EPD. The communication shall not claim that the sector EPD results are representative for a certain manufacturer or its product.

The following information shall also be included in a Sector EPD:

- a list of the contributing manufacturers that the Sector EPD covers,
- a description of how the selection of the sites/products has been done and how the average has been determined, and
- a statement that the document covers average values for an entire or partial product category (specifying the percentage of representativeness) and, hence, the declared product is an average that is not available for purchase on the market.



# 5 CONTENT AND FORMAT OF EPD

EPDs based on this PCR shall contain the information described in this section. Flexibility is allowed in the formatting and layout provided that the EPD still includes the prescribed information. A generic template for EPDs is available at www.environdec.com.

The EPD content shall:

- be in line with the requirements and guidelines in ISO 14020 (Environmental labels and declarations General principles),
- be verifiable, accurate, relevant and not misleading, and
- not include rating, judgements or direct comparison with other products<sup>10</sup>.

An EPD should be made with a reasonable number of pages for the intended audience and use.

The content of EPDs published in machine-readable format shall correspond with the content of the underlying EPD.

# 5.1 EPD LANGUAGES

EPDs should be published in English but may also be published in additional languages. If the EPD is not available in English, it shall contain an executive summary in English including the main content of the EPD. This summary is part of the EPD and, thus, also subject to the verification process.

# 5.2 UNITS AND QUANTITIES

The following requirements apply for units and quantities:

- The International System of Units (SI units) shall be used where available, e.g., kilograms (kg), Joules (J) and metres (m). Reasonable multiples of SI units may be decided in the PCR to improve readability, e.g., grams (g) or megajoules (MJ). The following exceptions apply:
  - Resources used for energy input (primary energy) should be expressed as kilowatt-hours (kWh) or megajoules (MJ), including renewable energy sources, e.g., hydropower, wind power and geothermal power.
  - Water use should be expressed in cubic metres (m³)
  - Temperature should be expressed in degrees Celsius (°C),
  - Time should be expressed in the units most practical, e.g., seconds, minutes, hours, days or years.
  - Results of the environmental performance indicators shall be expressed in the units prescribed by the impact assessment methods, e.g. kg CO<sub>2</sub> equivalents.
- Three significant figures<sup>11</sup> should be adopted for all results. The number of significant digits shall be appropriate and consistent.
- Scientific notation may be used, e.g. 1.2E+2 for 120, or 1.2E-2 for 0.012.
- The thousand separator and decimal mark in the EPD shall follow one of the following styles (a number with six significant figures shown for illustration):
  - SI style (French version): 1 234,56
  - SI style (English version): 1 234.56

In case of potential confusion or intended use of the EPD in markets where different symbols are used, the EPD shall state what symbols are used for thousand separator and decimal mark.

Dates and times presented in the EPD should follow the format in ISO 8601. For years, the prescribed format is YYYY-MM-DD, e.g., 2017-03-26 for March 26<sup>th</sup>, 2017.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> Therefore, results of normalization are not allowed to be reported in the EPD.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> Significant figures are those digits that carry meaning contributing to its precision. For example with two significant digits, the result of 123.45 shall be displayed as 120, and 0.12345 shall be displayed as 0.12. In scientific notation, these two examples would be displayed as 1.2\*10² and 1.2\*10².



- The result tables shall:
  - Only contain values or the letters "ND" (Not Declared). It is not possible to specify ND for mandatory indicators. ND shall only be used for voluntary parameters that are not quantified because no data is available.<sup>12</sup>
  - Contain no blank cells, hyphens, less than or greater than signs or letters (except "ND").
  - Use the value "0" only for parameters that have been calculated to be zero.
  - Footnotes shall be used to explain any limitation to the result value.

# 5.3 USE OF IMAGES IN EPD

Images used in the EPD, especially pictures featured on the cover page, may in themselves be interpreted as an environmental claim. Images such as trees, mountains, wildlife that are not related to the declared product shall therefore be used with caution and in compliance with national legislation and best available practices in the markets in which the EPD is intended to be used.

# 5.4 EPD REPORTING FORMAT

The reporting format of the EPD shall include the following sections:

- Cover page (see Section 5.4.1)
- Programme information (see Section 5.4.2)
- Product information (see Section 5.4.3)
- Content declaration (see Section □)
- Environmental performance (see Section 5.4.5)
- References (see Section 5.4.9)

The following sections may be included:

- Additional environmental information (see Section 5.4.6)
- Additional social and economic information (see Section 5.4.7)

The following sections shall be included, if relevant:

- Differences versus previous versions (see Section 5.4.8)
- Executive summary in English (see Section 5.4.10)

#### 5.4.1 COVER PAGE

The cover page shall include:

- Product name and image
- Name and logotype of EPD owner
- The text "Environmental Product Declaration" and/or "EPD"
- Programme: The International EPD® System, www.environdec.com
- Programme operator: EPD International AB
- Logotype of the International EPD® System
- EPD registration number as issued by the programme operator<sup>13</sup>

<sup>12</sup> This requirement does not intend to give guidance on what indicators are mandated ("shall") or voluntary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>13</sup> The EPD shall not include a "registration number" if such is provided by the certification body, as this may be confused with the registration number issued by the programme operator.



- Date of publication (issue): 20XX-YY-ZZ
- Date of revision: 20XX-YY-ZZ, when applicable
- Date of validity; 20XX-YY-ZZ
- A note that "An EPD should provide current information and may be updated if conditions change. The stated validity is therefore subject to the continued registration and publication at <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>."
- A statement of conformity with ISO 14025.
- For EPDs covering multiple products: a statement that the EPD covers multiple products and a list of all products covered by the EPD.
- For Sector EPDs: a statement that the EPD is a Sector EPD.

In the case of EPDs registered through a regional hub (a regional or national programme based on and fully aligned with the International EPD® System through an agreement with the programme operator), "Programme", "Programme operator", and "Logotype" shall be expanded to include a reference to the regional programme and the organisation responsible for it.

Where applicable, the cover page shall also include the following information:

- Information about dual registration of EPD in another programme, such as registration number and logotype.
- A statement of conformity with other standards and methodological guides.

#### 5.4.2 PROGRAMME INFORMATION

The programme information section of the EPD shall include:

- Address of programme operator: EPD International AB, Box 210 60, SE-100 31 Stockholm, Sweden, E-mail: info @environdec.com
- The following statement on the requirements for comparability of EPDs, adapted from ISO 14025: "EPDs within the same product category but from different programmes may not be comparable. For two EPDs to be comparable, they must be based on the same PCR (including the same version number) or be based on fully aligned PCRs or versions of PCRs; cover products with identical functions, technical performances and use (e.g. identical declared units); have equivalent system boundaries and descriptions of data; apply equivalent data quality requirements, methods of data collection, and allocation methods; apply identical cut-off rules and impact assessment methods (including the same version of characterisation factors); have equivalent content declarations; and be valid at the time of comparison."
- A statement that the EPD owner has the sole ownership, liability and responsibility of the EPD
- Information about verification<sup>14</sup> and the PCR in a table with the following format and contents:

# Accountabilities for PCR, LCA and independent, third-party verification Product Category Rules (PCR) PCR: <name, registration number, version and UN CPC code(s)> PCR review was conducted by: <name and organisation of the review chair, and information on how to contact the chair through the programme operator> Life cycle assessment (LCA) LCA accountability: <name, organization> Third-party verification

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> If the EPD has been verified by an approved individual verifier who has received contractual assistance from a certification body that is not accredited, this certification body shall not be included in this table.



Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:
□ EPD verification by individual verifier
Third-party verifier: <name, and="" of="" organisation,="" signature="" the="" third-party="" verifier=""></name,>
Approved by: The International EPD® System
OR
Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006, via:
☐ EPD verification by accredited certification body
Third-party verification: <name, organisation=""> is an approved certification body accountable for the third-party verification</name,>
The certification body is accredited by: <name &="" accreditation="" applicable="" body="" number,="" of="" where=""></name>
OR
Independent third-party verification of the declaration and data, according to ISO 14025:2006 via:
□ EPD verification by EPD Process Certification*
Internal auditor: <name, organisation=""></name,>
Third-party verification: <name, organisation=""> is an approved certification body accountable for third-party verification</name,>
Third-party verifier is accredited by: <name &="" accreditation="" applicable="" body="" number,="" of="" where=""></name>
*For EPD Process Certification, an accredited certification body certifies and reviews the management process and verifies EPDs published on a regular basis. For details about third-party verification procedure of the EPDs, see GPI v4, Section 7.5.
Procedure for follow-up of data during EPD validity involves third-party verifier <sup>15</sup> :
□ Yes □ No

# 5.4.3 PRODUCT INFORMATION

The product information section of the EPD shall include:

- address and contact information of the EPD owner,
- description of the organisation. This may include information on products- or management system-related certifications (e.g. ISO 14024 Type I environmental labels, ISO 9001- and 14001-certificates and EMAS-registrations) and other relevant work the organisation wants to communicate (e.g. SA 8000, supply-chain management and social responsibility),
- name and location of remediation site,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>15</sup> Procedure for follow-up the validity of the EPD is at minimum required once a year with the aim of confirming whether the information in the EPD remains valid or if the EPD needs to be updated during its validity period (see Sections 7.3.2 and 7.4.9 of the GPI). The follow-up can be organized entirely by the EPD owner or together with the original verifier via an agreement between the two parties. In both approaches, the EPD owner is responsible for the procedure being carried out. If a change that requires an update (see Section 6.5 of the GPI) is identified, the EPD shall be re-verified by a verifier.



- product identification by name, and an unambiguous identification of the product by standards, concessions or other means,
- identification of the product according to the UN CPC scheme system. Other relevant codes for product classification may also be included, e.g.
  - Common Procurement Vocabulary (CPV),
  - United Nations Standard Products and Services Code® (UNSPSC),
  - Classification of Products by Activity (NACE/CPA),
  - Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification (ANZSIC), or
  - Global Trade Item Number (GTIN).
- a description of the service provided, its application/intended use and technical functions:
  - description of the remediation technique adopted,
  - target pollutants,
  - initial and expected concentrations expressed in mg/kg (soil), or μg/litre (groundwater),
  - final use,
  - surface expressed in m2, Depth of the contaminated soil expressed in m, flow of treated water expressed in m3/h, depth of the water from the ground level expressed in m,
  - duration of the activities expressed in months, and
  - geological site assessment.
- for EPDs covering multiple products: a description of the selection of products/sites, a list of contributing manufacturers (if Sector EPD), etc. (see Section 4.9),
- geographical scope of the EPD, i.e., for which geographical location(s) of use and end-of-life the product's performance has been calculated.
- declared unit,
- declaration of the year(s) covered by the data used for the LCA calculation and other relevant reference years,
- reference to the main database(s) for generic data and LCA software used, if relevant,
- system diagram of the processes included in the LCA, divided into the life cycle stages,
- description if the EPD system boundary is "cradle-to-gate", "cradle-to-gate with options" or "cradle-to-grave",
- information on which life-cycle stages are not considered (if any), with a justification of the omission, and
- references to any relevant websites for more information or explanatory materials.

This section may also include:

- name and contact information of organisation carrying out the underlying LCA study,
- any additional information about the underlying LCA-based information, such as cut-off rules, data quality, allocation methods, and other methodological choices and assumptions,
- a description of the material properties of the product with a declaration of relevant physical or chemical product properties, such as density, etc., and

# 5.4.4 CONTENT DECLARATION

The content declaration section shall declare the weight of one unit of product, as purchased, and contain information about the content of the product in the form of a list of materials and chemical substances including information on their environmental and hazardous properties. The gross weight of each material/substance shall be declared, including a minimum of 99% of the materials/substances in one unit of product.



The content declaration does not apply to proprietary materials and substances covered by exclusive legal rights including patent and trademarks. In general, an indication that a product is "free" of a specific hazardous material or substance should be done with caution and only when relevant, following the rules in ISO 14021 on self-declared environmental claims.

Information on the hazardous properties of materials and chemical substances should follow the requirements given in the latest revision of the Globally Harmonized System of Classification and Labelling of Chemicals (GHS), <sup>16</sup> issued by the United Nations or national or regional applications of the GHS. As an example, the following regulations should be used for EPDs intended to be used in the European Union:

- Regulation (EC) No 1907/2006 of the European parliament and of the council of 18 December 2006 concerning the Registration, Evaluation, Authorisation, and Restriction of Chemicals (REACH),
- Regulation (EC) No 1272/2008 of the European Parliament and of the Council of 16 December 2008 on classification, labelling, and packaging of substances and mixtures,
- Commission Decision of 3 May 2000 establishing a list of wastes (waste classification), and
- Annex III to Directive 2008/98/EC on waste and repealing certain Directives (waste classification).

#### 5.4.4.1. Information about packaging

The producer shall provide information about packaging in the EPD, when applicable. Packaging may be classified as:

- Distribution Packaging: packaging designed to contain one or more articles or packages, or bulk materials, for the purposes of transport, handling and/or distribution (ISO 21067-1:2016, Section 2.2.6)
- Consumer Packaging: packaging constituting, with its content, a sales unit for the final user or consumer at the point of retail (ISO 21067-1:2016, Section 2.2.7).

Consumer packaging is generally the outcome of eco-design processes, or other activities, under direct control of the organisation. Many critical categories with strict legal requirements belong to consumer packaging category like food contact packaging and pharmaceutical packaging.

The weight of the packaging per product, and the type and function of the packaging, shall be reported in the EPD.

A statement of the source of the materials (pre-consumer or post-consumer) shall be presented in the EPD when the packaging is made in whole or in part by recycled materials.

# 5.4.5 ENVIRONMENTAL PERFORMANCE

Below subsections list the mandatory environmental performance indicators to declare in the EPD. LCA results based on additional indicators may be declared, if they are relevant for the product category, their inclusion is justified in the EPD, appropriate methods<sup>17</sup> are used, and the results are verifiable. If the additional indicators appear to the reader to display duplicate information, the EPD shall contain an explanation of the differences between the declared indicators.

# 5.4.5.1. Environmental impacts

The EPD shall declare the environmental impact indicators, per declared unit, per life-cycle stage and in aggregated form, using the default impact categories, impact assessments methods and characterisation factors available at <a href="www.environdec.com/indicators">www.environdec.com/indicators</a>. The source and version of the impact assessment methods and characterisation factors used shall be reported in the EPD.

Alternative regional life cycle impact assessment methods and characterisation factors may be calculated and displayed in addition to the default list. If so, the EPD shall contain an explanation of the differences between the declared sets of indicators, as they may appear to the reader to display duplicate information.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> The GHS document is available at www.unece.org.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>17</sup> If any of the following impact categories are declared in the EPD, the corresponding characterisation methods listed in EN 15804 should be used: particulate matter emissions, ionizing radiation (human health), eco-toxicity (freshwater), human toxicity (cancer effects), human toxicity (non-cancer effects) and land use related impacts/soil quality. If these impact categories and characterisation methods are used, the corresponding disclaimers listed in EN 15804 shall be declared in the EPD.



#### 5.4.5.2. Use of resources

The EPD shall declare the mandatory, and may declare the optional, indicators for resource use listed at <a href="https://www.environdec.com/indicators">www.environdec.com/indicators</a> per declared unit, per life-cycle stage and in aggregated form.

#### 5.4.5.3. Waste production and output flows

Waste generated along the whole life cycle production chains shall be treated following the technical specifications described in the GPI. The EPD may declare the optional indicators for waste production and output flows as listed at <a href="www.environdec.com/indicators">www.environdec.com/indicators</a> per declared unit, per life-cycle stage and in aggregated form.

#### 5.4.6 ADDITIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL INFORMATION

An EPD may declare additional environmentally relevant information, in addition to the LCA results of the section on environmental performance results. The additional environmental information may cover various aspects of specific relevance for the product, for example:

- qualitative or quantitative impact on biodiversity and health
- risk assessment results
- a more detailed description of an organisation's overall environmental work, in addition to the information listed under Section 5.4.3. such as:
  - the existence of a quality or environmental management system or organised environmental programmes, and
  - information on where interested parties may find more details about the organisation's environmental work.

Any additional environmental information declared shall be substantiated and verifiable, and be derived using appropriate methods and be specific, accurate, not misleading, and relevant to the specific product. Quantitative information is preferred over qualitative information.

The additional environmental information shall not include LCA results, with some exceptions:

- The LCA results of an alternative modelling approach may be declared as additional environmental information, if such an alternative modelling approach is explicitly allowed by the applicable PCR or the GPI. According to this PCR, alternative GWP-biogenic results may be declared, which considers the effect of long-term storage of biogenic carbon (see next bullet point).
- The additional environmental information may include information on permanent (more than 100 years) storage of biogenic carbon, either in the product, in a landfill, or as a consequence of applying carbon capture and storage (CCS) to the incineration of biogenic carbon, and how this would influence GWP-biogenic results if the GWP-biogenic indicator would allow consideration of such storage.

# 5.4.7 ADDITIONAL SOCIAL AND ECONOMIC INFORMATION

The EPD may also include other relevant social and economic information as additional and voluntary information. This may be product information or a description of an organisation's overall work on social or economic sustainability, such as activities related to supply chain management or social responsibility.

Any additional social and economic information declared shall be substantiated and verifiable, and be derived using appropriate methods and be specific, accurate, not misleading, and relevant to the specific product. Quantitative information is preferred over qualitative information.

# 5.4.8 DIFFERENCES VERSUS PREVIOUS VERSIONS

For EPDs that have been updated, the following information shall be included:

- a description of the differences versus previously published versions, and
- a revision date on the cover page.



# 5.4.9 REFERENCES

A reference section shall be included, including a list of all sources referred to in the EPD, including the GPI (including version number), and PCR (registration number, name, and version) used to develop the EPD.

This section shall include a list of references:

- the General Programme Instructions (including version number),
- standards,
- PCR (registration number, name and version),
- other documents that verify and complement the EPD, and
- the LCA tools and data used.

# 5.4.10 EXECUTIVE SUMMARY IN ENGLISH

The executive summary, if included (see Section 5.1), shall contain relevant summarised information related to the programme, product, environmental performance, information related to pre-certified EPDs, and information related to sector EPDs. Besides this, further information may be added such as additional environmental, social or economic information, references as well as differences versus previous EPD versions.



# 6 LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

ANZSIC Australian and New Zealand Standard Industrial Classification

CPC Central product classification

CPV Common procurement vocabulary
EPD Environmental product declaration
GPI General Programme Instructions

GTIN Global trade item number

ISO International Organization for Standardization

LCA Life cycle assessment
LCI Life cycle inventory

NACE/CPA Classification of products by activity

ND Not declared

PCR Product category rules

REACH Restriction of chemicals

RSL Reference service life

SI The International System of Units

UN United Nations

UNSPSC United Nations standard products and services code

# 7 REFERENCES

CEN (2013) EN 15804:2012+A1:2013, Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products.

CEN (2019) EN 15804:2012+A2:2019, Sustainability of construction works – Environmental product declarations – Core rules for the product category of construction products.

EPD International (2021) General Programme Instructions for the International EPD® System. Version 4.0, dated 2021-03-29. <a href="https://www.environdec.com">www.environdec.com</a>.

Federal Remediation Technologies Roundtable (FRTR) https://frtr.gov/

Guinée, J.B., Gorrée, M., Heijungs, R., Huppes, G., Kleijn, R., Koning, A. de; Oers, L. van, Wegener Sleeswijk, A., Suh, S., Udo de Haes, H.A., Bruijn, H. de, Duin, R. van, Huijbregts, M.A.J. (2002). Handbook on life cycle assessment. Operational guide to the ISO standards. Part III: Scientific background. Kluwer Academic Publishers, ISBN 1-4020-0228-9, Dordrecht, 692 pp.

Greco, S.L., Wilson, A.M., Spengler J.D., Levy J.I. (2007). Spatial patterns of mobile source particulate matter emissions-to-exposure relationships across the United States. Atmospheric Environment (41), 1011-1025

Huijbregts, M.A.J., (1999). Priority assessment of toxic substances in LCA. Development and application of the multi-media fate, exposure and effect model USES-LCA. IVAM environmental research, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam.

Huijbregts, M.A.J., (2000). Priority Assessment of Toxic Substances in the frame of LCA. Time horizon dependency of toxicity potentials calculated with the multi-media fate, exposure and effects model USES-LCA. Institute for Biodiversity and Ecosystem Dynamics, University of Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands. (http://www.leidenuniv.nl/interfac/cml/lca2/).

Huijbregts, M.A.J., J.B. Guinée & L. Reijnders, (2000b). Priority assessment of toxic substances in life cycle assessment, III: Export of potential impact over time and space. Chemosphere.

Huijbregts, M.A.J., U. Thissen, J.B. Guinée, T. Jager, D. van de Meent, A.M.J. Ragas, A. Wegener Sleeswijk & L. Reijnders, (2000a). Priority assessment of toxic substances in life cycle assessment, I: Calculation of toxicity potentials for 181 substances with the nested multi-media fate, exposure and effects model USES-LCA. Chemosphere 41: 541-573.

Huijbregts, M.A.J.; Breedveld L.; Huppes, G.; De Koning, A.; Van Oers, L.; Suh, S. (2003). Normalisation figures for environmental life-cycle assessment: The Netherlands (1997/1998), Western Europe (1995) and the World (1990 and 1995). Journal of Cleaner Production 11 (7): 737-748.

ISO (2000) ISO 14020:2000, Environmental labels and declarations – General principles.

ISO (2004) ISO 8601:2004 Data elements and interchange formats – Information interchange – Representation of dates and times.

ISO (2006a) ISO 14025:2006, Environmental labels and declarations – Type III environmental declarations – Principles and procedures.

ISO (2006b) ISO 14040:2006, Environmental management - Life cycle assessment - Principles and framework.

 $ISO\ (2006c)\ ISO\ 14044:\ 2006,\ Environmental\ management-Life\ cycle\ assessment-Requirements\ and\ guidelines.$ 

ISO (2014) ISO 14046:2014, Environmental management – Water footprint – Principles, requirements and guidelines.

ISO (2015a) ISO 14001:2015, Environmental management systems – Requirements with guidance for use.

ISO (2015b) ISO 9001:2015, Quality management systems - Requirements.

ISO (2016a) ISO 21067-1:2016, Packaging – Vocabulary – Part 1: General terms.

ISO (2016b) ISO 14021:2016, Environmental labels and declarations - Self-declared environmental claim (Type II environmental labelling).

ISO (2017) ISO 21930:2017, Sustainability in buildings and civil engineering works – Core rules for environmental product declarations of construction products and services.

ISO (2017a), ISO 18504:2017, Soil quality — Sustainable remediation

ISO (2018a) ISO 14024:2018, Environmental labels and declaration – Type I environmental labelling – Principles and procedures.

ISO (2018b) ISO/TS 14067:2018, Greenhouse gases – Carbon footprint of products – Requirements and guidelines for quantification and communication.



Lemming G., Hauschild M., (2009). Life cycle assessment of soil and groundwater remediation technologies: literature review. Int J Life Cycle Assess (2010) 15:115–127

Morais S., and Delerue-Matos C., (2009). A perspective on LCA application in site remediation services: Critical review of challenges. Journal of Hazardous Materials 175 (2010) 12–22

Rabl, A. and Spadaro, J.V. (2004). The RiskPoll software, version is 1.051 (dated August 2004). www.arirabl.com.

Rosenbaum R.K., T.M. Bachmann, L.Swirsky Gold, M.A.J. Huijbregts, O. Jolliet, R. Juraske, A. Koehler, H.F. Larsen, M. MacLeod, M. Margni, T.E. McKone, J. Payet, M. Schumacher, D. van de Meent & M. Hauschild (2008). Usetox - the UNEP-SETAC toxicity model: recommended characterisation factors for human toxicity and fresh water ecotoxicity in life cycle impact assessment. Int J LCA (2008) 13:532-546

US Sustainable Remediation Forum (2011) Guidance for Performing Footprint Analyses and Life-Cycle Assessments for the Remediation Industry.

 $https://static1.squarespace.com/static/5a4eb702cd39c3e7d62cb562/t/5a501c8324a694bca80c6c70/1515199620551/Footprint-LCA-20289\_ftp.pdf$ 



# 8 VERSION HISTORY OF PCR

# VERSION 1.0, 2012-09-27

Original version of the PCR.

# VERSION 1.1, 2014-04-17

Update to comply with the latest General Programme Instructions:

- Cover page, General information and General introduction updated
- Updated PCR Moderator
- Added reference to UN CPC website
- Updated section on data quality rules
- Updated Content of EPD section
- Updated Validity of EPD section
- Minor editorial changes

# VERSION 2.0, 2019-04-24

Update to comply with the latest General Programme Instructions:

- Cover page, General information and General introduction updated
- Updated life cycle stages: downstream processes now optional
- Updated system diagram
- Updated recommended databases for generic data
- Updated impact categories and impact assessment
- Updated product information

# VERSION 2.01, 2019-08-18

- Clarified terms of use
- Editorial changes

# VERSION 3.0, 20XX-YY-ZZ

- Updates to comply with version 4.0 of the GPI,
- Updates of old website links,
- Mandatory additional environmental performance indicators,
- Clarified terms of use, and
- Editorial changes.

PRODUCT CATEGORY RULES (PCR)
DATE 20XX-YY-ZZ



SITE REMEDIATION AND CLEAN UP SERVICES, SOIL AND GROUNDWATER PRODUCT CATEGORY CLASSIFICATION: UN CPC 94413

# © EPD INTERNATIONAL AB 2023

YOUR USE OF THIS MATERIAL IS SUBJECT TO THE GENERAL TERMS OF USE PUBLISHED ON BY EPD INTERNATIONAL AB:S HOMEPAGE AT <a href="https://www.environdec.com/contact/general-terms-of-use/">https://www.environdec.com/contact/general-terms-of-use/</a>. If You have not registered and accepted epd international ab:s the General terms of use, you are not authorized to exploit this work in any manner.

COVER IMAGE © ISTOCKPHOTO.COM / BART COENDERS