



TYEE LAKE THIRD TURBINE CONSTRUCTION PROJECT

ADDENDUM NO. 3

Date: September 24, 2026

Bids Due: October 12, 2026 @ 4:00 p.m. AKDT (no change)

Page(s): Five (5) pages

TO: ALL PROSPECTIVE BIDDERS:

This Addendum modifies, clarifies, or supplements the bidding documents for the **Tyee Lake Third Turbine Construction Project** and is hereby made part of the Request for Proposals (RFP). Prospective bidders must acknowledge receipt of this Addendum in the appropriate place on the *Bid Schedule and Addendum Acknowledgment Form* (Attachment No. 10 to the Request for Proposals).

NOTE TO BIDDERS:

A. EXTENSION OF BIDDER INQUIRIES AND CLARIFICATIONS DEADLINE

Please be advised that the deadline for submission of Bidder Inquiries and Clarifications has been extended as follows:

- **Previous Deadline:** September 18, 2026, at 10:00 AM AKDT
- **New Deadline:** September 25, 2026, at 4:00 PM AKDT

SEAPA recognizes the compressed timeline of this extension and appreciates the prompt attention of all prospective bidders to ensure all remaining technical inquiries are submitted prior to tomorrow's revised deadline.

B. INQUIRIES AND CLARIFICATIONS:

1. Please confirm by specific tag whether PCP-300 (Unit 3 Synchronous Condense Cooling Water Pump Control Panel), EXC-300 (Unit 3 Excitation Cabinet), and EPT-300 (Unit 3 Excitation Power Transformer) are included in OFE, and if so, under which category. If any of these are Contractor-furnished, please issue the applicable technical specifications.

SEAPA RESPONSE: P-300 (pump) as well as PCP-300 (pump control panel) are not OFE and will need to be supplied by the construction contractor. PCP-300 will be a motor combination starter as described on drawing H02-D-47-0537-R00. EXC-300 and EPT-300 will be OFE.

2. Please confirm the actual outage-restricted period at the Tyee Powerhouse so that bids can reflect a proposed schedule that does not affect winter operations or plant access.

SEAPA RESPONSE: Plant-wide and Unit 1 & 2 outages are limited at the Tyee plant and require ample notification. We don't anticipate a large number of outages needed for this project as most of the work can be completed with the plant operational. Some of the switchyard work will require a Plant-wide outage. SEAPA currently takes a Plant-wide outage in June of each year that the contractor can utilize to accomplish switchyard work. Unit outages, if needed, can be accommodated during the months of May through September.

3. Drawings indicate raceway C3001 is to consist of (2) 4" conduits; the raceway schedule indicates the same raceway is to consist of (3) 4" conduits. Please clarify the correct conduit count.

SEAPA RESPONSE: C3001 will be two (2) 4" conduits from the SWG-300 to JBX-301. Drawing H02-D-47-5029-R00 is hereby revised to show that conduit run C3001 consists of two (2) 4" conduits from SWG-300 to JBX-301, correcting the previously indicated four (4) conduits.

4. Drawing note B on sheet 96 indicates concrete-encasement is required for the duct bank from the powerhouse to the switchyard. Trenching details and specifications indicate bedding sand will be used. Please confirm whether concrete-encasement is required, and if so, how far it must extend and what coverage, spacing, and reinforcement requirements apply.

SEAPA RESPONSE: The referenced note on drawing H02-D-47-0537-R00 is hereby revised to read:

ROUTE GRS CONDUIT VERTICALLY FROM J-BOX TO DUCT BANK, TRANSITIONING TO PVC IN DUCT BANK TRENCH. WRAP ALL GRS CONDUIT FROM J-BOX O PVC TRANSITION WITH SCOTCHWRAP CORROSION PROTECTIVE TAPE 3M-51 OR APPROVED EQUAL. CORROSION PROTECTIVE TAPE SHALL EXTEND A MINMUM OF 6 INCHES INTO THE DUCT BANK BEDDING SAND.

5. Section 26 05 36 fixes cable tray width (24") and minimum fill depth (3") as prescriptive requirements, while also requiring the contractor to prepare cable tray fill calculations and design the cable tray system. Given that the cable schedule dictates the routing of conductors, please confirm:

(a) whether the contractor has latitude to increase tray size/quantity if the fill calculation shows the prescribed 24" tray is inadequate for the required cables, and

SEAPA RESPONSE: Yes, the contractor has latitude to increase/decrease tray size if the fill calculations determine this to be necessary. However, this would need to be submitted and approved through the submittal process.

(b) if so, whether such an increase constitutes a change in scope/cost or is deemed included in the base bid.

SEAPA RESPONSE: This change could warrant a materials increase change request.

6. Section 26 05 48 requires the contractor to retain a Registered Professional Engineer to design seismic supports, attachments, and anchorage for generators, switchgear, switchboards, and transformers. Some of these items are identified as Owner-Furnished Equipment. Please confirm:

(a) that seismic anchorage design for OFCI equipment is Contractor scope, notwithstanding that the equipment itself is Owner-procured; and

SEAPA RESPONSE: All seismic anchor design for non-owner furnished equipment and the switchgear will be in the contractor scope. The Contractor will be responsible for installing anchors for other OFCI equipment as shown in the Contract Documents and in documentation provided by the equipment supplier.

(b) the mechanism and timing by which the equipment supplier or owner will furnish certified weight, center-of-gravity, and base/mounting dimension data sufficient for the Contractor's Professional Engineer to complete this design.

SEAPA RESPONSE: There is information on the Owner Furnished Equipment in the reference drawing package that was included in the RFP. The switchgear design will be shared with Contractor once it is received from the manufacturer and approved by the Owner.

7. Section 01 33 00 allows the Owner 21 calendar days to review each submittal (35 days for submittals containing a variation), with resubmittals resetting the full review period and no stated cap on the number of cycles. Section 01 32 01 further provides that schedule float is shared between owner and contractor and that no time extension will be granted unless a delay consumes all available project float. Several contractor-furnished, long-lead electrical items have submittal reviews sitting directly on the critical path ahead of fabrication release. Given the remote site with limited shipping windows, please confirm:

(a) whether SEAPA will commit to an expedited review turnaround for designated long-lead items identified by the contractor, and

SEAPA RESPONSE: SEAPA will make all efforts to expedite review of submittals identified as priority by the Contractor. However, SEAPA cannot commit to specific expedited review turn-around times as the completeness, accuracy, and contract compliance of each submittal plays a significant part in determining the level of effort required for each submittal review. Submittal completeness, accuracy, and compliance with the contract requirements are incumbent upon the Contractor.

(b) whether such items can be flagged for priority handling by SEAPA's engineering consultant to avoid review-cycle delays compounding against fixed fabrication lead times.

SEAPA RESPONSE: Yes, priority submittals may be flagged and identified by the Contractor and will be given priority by the Engineer.

8. Section 33 75 00 specifies technical requirements for the circuit switcher, but the equipment is contractor-procured. Please confirm whether SEAPA has a preferred or approved manufacturer, or an existing standard at the Tyee or Swan Lake facilities that the new circuit switcher must match for spares/maintenance commonality, or whether the contractor may select any manufacturer meeting the stated ratings.

SEAPA RESPONSE: The circuit switchers on Units 1 & 2 are manufactured by Southern States. However, we do not have a preference or an approved manufacturer for this project. However, the equipment does need to be sourced from a U. S. company and adhere to the BABA and Domestic Steel requirements of this project.

9. Can the bidders questioning period be extended to Sept 25, 2026?

SEAPA RESPONSE: Yes, the bidders may submit inquiries until September 25, 2026 as noted on page 1 of this Addendum No. 3.

10. Please advise on how the OFE equipment will shipped to the site (ie on a lowboy, on chassis, pick load only via crane, etc).

SEAPA RESPONSE: The Owner-Furnished Equipment (OFE) will be delivered to the Tyee site via barge, managed by the Supplier's logistics contractor, Rock-N-Road Construction, Inc. Following arrival and a successful inventory and damage-free inspection by the Supplier's Quality Assurance Representative, the successful bidder will be solely responsible for offloading the equipment from the barge. While the specific transport configuration (e.g., lowboy, chassis, or crane-pick) is pending final manufacturer submittals, SEAPA will provide final shipping dimensions, weights, and offloading schematics to the Contractor prior to mobilization. Bidders must account for standard marine offloading contingencies within their proposals.

11. There are general widths and dimensions listed in the specs for OFE equipment, can SEAPA please advise weight and dimensions of final OFE equipment for purposes of transportation from the barge to the site?

SEAPA RESPONSE: Refer to the reference drawing package included with the RFP. Specifically, pages 129 through 419 of the reference drawing .pdf files provide information on the OFE.

12. Is there a structural load rating/assessment for the barge landing bulkhead? If so, please provide.

SEAPA RESPONSE: No, there is no structural load assessment for the barge landing bulkhead.

13. Owner provided clarification to the builder's risk is needed for the project: Why does the \$11,800,000 not match the value of the OFE costs annotated in the following table? Is the \$11.8M intended o be the estimated contract value or is that the value of the OFE costs assembled?

SEAPA RESPONSE: The following is a table of the Actual OFE costs and estimated costs of equipment that may be used by Contractor during the project. Also, see Section 6 (Builders Risk Cost Disclosure Form) on page 4 of **Attachment B** to this Addendum for the Total Insured Value (Completed Value, including OFE) of \$11,800,000.

Equipment Description	Cost	Comments
Actual Cost of OFE		
Turbine and Accessory equipment	Actual \$2,873,946	New supplied by Canyon Hydro
Generator	Actual \$1,954,006	New supplied by Canyon Hydro
15KV switchgear	\$1,185,991	New supplied by Canyon Hydro
GSU Transformer	\$1,232,100	New supplied by Canyon Hydro
TIV Valve	\$489,131	New supplied by Canyon Hydro
Governor, HPU, control panels and accessories	\$972,196	New supplied by Canyon Hydro

SEAPA RESPONSE: It is the value of the OFE costs assembled per footnote 1 in Section 6 of the Builder's Risk spec.

14. Is there any site-specific training that SEAPA requires for Tyee that the contractor should account for?

SEAPA RESPONSE: Contractor will be responsible for training staff on the equipment that they will be supplying as part of this contract. This includes but is not limited to the following equipment:

- Oil Water Separator
- Fire protection system
- Circuit Switcher
- Synchronous Condensing Mode Cooling Water Pump

Except as clarified or modified herein, all terms, conditions, and specifications of the RFP and all prior Addendums issued for this project, remain in full force and effect.

End of Addendum No. 3