# INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS TIMES

# Top Plastic Surgeon Says Ivanka Trump's Face Looks 'Plumper' — Fillers Or Subtle Cosmetic Tweak?

After a widely viewed CNBC interview, experts debate whether Ivanka Trump's 'plumper' look is down to fillers, masseter Botox or simple camera trickery

Chelsie Napiza October 21, 2025



Ivanka Trump's appearance on <u>CNBC's Squawk Box</u> in September prompted a fresh wave of online scrutiny after viewers and an aesthetic surgeon noted a visibly fuller mid-face and softened jawline. The discussion that followed underscores how televised images can spark intense speculation over cosmetic procedures.

Trump's September interview with CNBC showed the former White House adviser discussing her Planet Harvest venture. Viewers quickly zoomed in on her facial features rather than the policy discussion, posting side-by-side screenshots online and prompting commentary from cosmetic experts.

#### **Expert Opinion: What Surgeons Saw and Said**

A Beverly Hills triple-board-certified plastic surgeon told <u>outlets</u> that Trump's 'central fullness', particularly in the cheeks and the under-eye region, is consistent with recently placed mid-face dermal fillers.

He also pointed to a softer jawline, which can result from either fillers placed around the lower face or from masseter-muscle relaxation using botulinum toxin ('masseter Botox').

Dr Raffi Hovsepian, identified in the tabloid's piece as a triple-board-certified aesthetic, plastic and reconstructive surgeon based in Beverly Hills, framed his assessment cautiously, emphasising that lighting, make-up and short-term post-procedure swelling can alter how fullness reads on camera. Dr Hovsepian's professional profiles and past on-camera analyses establish his credentials in this

field.

## What the Video and Photographs Actually Show

.In the CNBC clip, Trump's cheek prominence appears more central, and the shadowing beneath the eyes is reduced compared with earlier public photographs, creating a smoother mid-face contour.

Camera angles and studio lighting, both long known to change perceived bone and soft-tissue structure, were evident in the broadcast and may exaggerate subtle changes. Social media users rapidly circulated stills, accelerating the debate online.



That visual evidence is, by itself, not an admission of treatment. Public figures rarely confirm cosmetic procedures, and ophthalmic or dental changes (such as orthodontics) and simple weight fluctuation can produce similar effects.

### Procedures Under Discussion: Fillers and Masseter Relaxation

'<u>Mid-face fillers</u>', usually hyaluronic acid products, are commonly used to restore volume to cheeks and under-eye hollows; they can create a 'plumper' mid-face while softening naso-labial transitions.

Temporary swelling in the weeks after injection can also make cheeks look fuller before the filler settles. Masseter injections use botulinum toxin to relax the jaw muscle, gradually slimming or softening a squared jaw over months. Both interventions are minimally invasive but medical in nature, performed by credentialled clinicians.

Medical authorities warn that non-surgical cosmetic procedures carry real risks if performed by unqualified practitioners. The <u>NHS</u> cautions patients that fillers and Botox are medical interventions and that complications can include infection, asymmetry, nerve damage and — very rarely — vascular events that threaten sight.

The intense public reaction to Ivanka Trump's look is about more than celebrity curiosity. It highlights how female public figures, particularly those associated with wealth or political power, are judged for both appearance and authenticity.

Cosmetic procedures, when discussed in the public domain, become a prism for debates about privilege, image management, and the ethics of aesthetic medicine in the social-media era.

Visible changes in a public figure's appearance naturally invite scrutiny; expert analysis can be illuminating but not definitive. Until a clinician or the subject confirms a procedure, observations should remain framed as professional interpretation rather than established fact.