LIFE19 CCM/NL/001200



# **Guidance on biomass estimation at age 40 years since planting**

**Technical Annex** 

Action: D.1

Beneficiary responsible for deliverable: IFER – Monitoring and Mapping Solutions

Authors: Emil Cienciala, Jorge Palmero Barrachina, Jan Albert, Petr Blažek, Tiago Santana



# Summary

Introduction	3
Methodology	4
Biogeographic regions	4
Groups of species	5
Tree biomass functions	5
Yield tables	7
Estimation procedure for tree level biomass	9
From tree level to plantation level	9
Mortality considerations	10
From dry weight biomass to C, and from C to CO2 equivalent	12
Results	13
Tree level	13
Stand (plantation) level	16
Survival rates in timber plantations	17
Discussion	18
Biomass estimates – issues to consider	18
Conclusions	21
References	22
Annex I	1
Groups of species	2
Annex II	1
Biomass estimation example	2

# Introduction

Life Terra Foundation intends to plant 500 million trees by 2025. Trees, forest stands, and forest ecosystems provide several essential benefits to mankind and nature. Carbon sequestration is one of these benefits. One big challenge for Life Terra Foundation is to transparently quantify the carbon sequestered in tree biomass by their plantations to monitor the progress not only in terms of trees planted, but also in terms of  $CO_2$  offset that these trees represent. IFER designed a guiding procedure to assess carbon storage for trees and stands to be used in Life Terra Foundation. To make the estimation feasible, the procedure was designed with respect to the actual input information available, providing information on uncertainty considerations with respect to the expected mortality and options to increase accuracy using locally available biomass equations and sampling programs such as sample-based national forest inventories. The estimation procedure is designed to be applicable at both tree and stand level.

This document describes the estimation procedure of the expected tree and/or stand living biomass of the Life Terra plantations at age 40 years. It is based on the previous general guidance (Cienciala et al., 2021) for biomass estimation.



# Methodology

The estimation of total tree living biomass of the Life Terra plantations that is expected at age of 40 years is specific to biogeographic regions, tree species and/or tree species groups and types. The estimation uses the local allometric equations, yield tables and, national sample-based forest inventories (NFI) and Greenhouse gas (GHG) emission inventories to the most practicable extent as described below.

### **Countries & Biogeographic regions**

Tree growth performance and species composition is primarily specific with respect to each country with its specific climate conditions and landscape topography. Each plantation will be primarily classified by the country where they are located.

In case there is no country specific data available, the plantings will be also classified by the biogeographic region where they are located. These are shown together with the locations of the Life Terra plantations in Fig. 1.



*Fig. 1: Biogeographic regions in Europe and locations of Life Terra plantations as of July 2022. Source of biogeographic regions: <u>European Environment Agency (EEA)</u>* 



So far, Life Terra has planted trees in four biogeographic regions: Atlantic, Continental, Mediterranean and Alpine. For each biogeographic region, data for a specific representative country, inside the respective biogeographic region, will be used.

### **Groups of species**

Life Terra Foundation has planted more than 250 tree species (as of July 2022) since the beginning of the project. These species are available in the Life Terra database. The biomass estimation procedure requires species-specific information on allometry and growth performance, which is generally not available for all species. Therefore, apart from species-specific estimation, species grouping was used based on species genus and similarity in terms of tree shape and wood density. The following group types are used:

- Broadleaves
- Conifers
- Shrubs

The use of group types means using an average biomass estimate for all available tree species for a given biogeographic region, country, and productivity class (site index) as described below. Species grouping concerns both broadleaved and coniferous trees.

As for shrubs, in absence of applicable literature, these were considered to reach an amount of biomass equal to that of *Frangula alnus*.

### Tree biomass functions

Tree biomass functions are the fundamental tools to estimate tree biomass from measurable dimensions. As was described in the previous general guidance for biomass estimation (Cienciala et al., 2021), there is a wealth of published approaches applicable to larger trees (diameter at breast height (DBH) > 5 cm, age >15 years) that contain dimensions of merchantable biomass. These approaches may either use tree or stand volume and convert it to biomass or use specific biomass functions suited for particular tree species. These functions usually rely on DBH, tree height and often also use some complementary explanatory (input) variables.

#### Allometric equations as tree biomass functions

Allometric equations are used to estimate tree and forest biomass. The independent variables of these equations are most commonly DBH and/or tree height, which are dimensions that are easy to measure for many trees. Usually, allometric equations take the form of power function (Somogyi et al., 2007; Vonderach et al., 2018; Wirth et al., 2004).



$$b_i = \beta_0 + \beta_1 x_1^{\beta_2} + \beta_3 x_2^{\beta_4}$$

(1)

where  $\beta$  are the equation parameters, *b* the biomass of tree component (stem, branches, leaves, roots, etc.) *i* and  $x_1$  and  $x_2$  the independent variables (DBH and tree height).

It is important to recognize that most of the data provided on tree biomass may include only aboveground biomass (AGB) components. Since Life Terra is concerned with carbon and  $CO_2$  equivalents stored in the entire tree, one should estimate total tree biomass (TB), which includes also the below-ground component (BGB). It holds that AGB + BGB = TB.

When the belowground biomass component was missing in the allometric equations, suitable expansion factors from table 4.4 of the 2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories (IPCC 2006) were used.

The leaf component (leaves) is commonly calculated for the winter stage, which implies that broadleaves are counted without leaves (forming a part of litter) while the biomass estimates of conifers include needles.

The set of available biomass functions used to estimate tree biomass is shown in Table 1. So far, biomass outputs from six tree species of the Alpine biogeographic region, 14 tree species of the Atlantic, 12 tree species of the Continental and six tree species of the Mediterranean have been analyzed (Table 2).

Bioregion	Author	Tree species
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Abies alba
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Fagus sylvatica
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Larix decidua
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Picea abies
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Pinus cembra
Alpine	Gasparini et al., 2006	Pinus sylvestris
Atlantic	Fortier et al., 2017	Populus cul.
Atlantic	Liepiņš et al., 2021	Alnus glutinosa
Atlantic	Marklund, 1989	Betula pendula
Atlantic	Rock, 2007	Populus tremula
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Acer pseudoplatanus
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Fagus sylvatica
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Fraxinus excelsior
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Picea abies
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Pinus sylvestris
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Pseudotsuga menziesii
Atlantic	Vonderach et al., 2018	Quercus robur
Continental	Bronisz et al., 2016	Betula verrucosa

Table 1: Set of biomass functions by biogeographic region, author, and tree species

Bioregion	Author	Tree species
Continental	Cienciala et al., 2006	Pinus sylvestris
Continental	Cienciala et al., 2008	Quercus robur
Continental	Jagodziński et al., 2018	Larix decidua
Continental	Suchomel et al., 2012	Carpinus betulus
Continental	Vonderach et al., 2018	Abies alba
Continental	Wirth et al., 2004	Picea abies
Continental	Wutzler et al., 2008	Fagus sylvatica
Continental	Liepiņš et al., 2021	Alnus glutinosa
Continental	Vonderach et al., 2018	Fraxinus excelsior
Continental	Fortier et al., 2017	Populus cul.
Continental	Vonderach et al., 2018	Pseudotsuga menziessi
Mediterranean	Canadell et al., 1988	Quercus ilex
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Pinus halepensis
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Pinus nigra
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Pinus pinaster
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Pinus pinea
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Pinus sylvestris
Mediterranean	Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, 2013	Quercus ilex
Biogeoregion = bioge	eographic region	

#### Allometric equations for shrubs

Hamelin et al. (2015) described the aboveground biomass of buckthorns (*Frangula alnus* Miller) and compared it in two different environmental conditions (in an open field and in a plantation) at Sainte-Catherine-de-Hatley, in Southeastern Québec, Canada. Allometric equations were developed by these authors using age as independent variable and total aboveground biomass as dependent variable.

The expansion factor from the table 4.4 of IPCC (2006), value 0.46 (representing "Domain = Temperate, other broadleaf ABG <75 t/ha") was used to estimate the belowground biomass component.

### **Yield tables**

Growth and Yield tables are forestry tools describing stand properties for individual species classified by site productivity. They contain stand dimensions such stand mean diameter at breast height (DBH), stand height (H) and number of trees/ha for the expected live-span of these stands. Hence, the stand information attributed to age 40 years can be retrieved.

The variability of the stand characteristics for a given tree species and a given locality depends on stand structure, prescribed forest management and site properties. Site properties determine productivity, hence yield tables are often classified by site index (SI) as a productivity indicator. It is usually defined as



stand height that the dominant and codominant trees in fully stocked, even-aged stands attain at a given age (Fig. 2). Site index is commonly expressed by stand height that is reached at a given age and tree species. The age of 100 years is often used to assess the site index (Brandl et al., 2018). For example, for a Norway spruce forest stand in the Czech Republic, SI = 32 indicates that the dominant and codominant Norway spruce trees reach an upper height of 32 m at age 100 years. So far, 12 yield tables (each for up to 14 tree species) from four different countries (Austria, Czech Republic, the Netherlands and Spain) have been used to estimate mean stand-specific dimensions (mean tree DBH and H) at the age of 40 years for different site fertility (site index).

An example of applicable information from yield tables is shown in Fig. 2, using the source of Jansen et al. (2018). It describes the growth performance in terms of stand height across the age span for five productivity classes representing site index. Site index with the highest stand height (MAX - site index) indicates the heights that trees of a given species on a given locality would reach at a given age under the best site conditions (fertility). The poorest site conditions are represented by the lowest productivity class (MIN - site index).



Fig. 2: Stand height development over stand age – y-axis = stand height in m, x-axis = stand age in years. MAX, MEAN, upper-middle P75, and MIN site indexes indicate the range of considered productivity for stand age 40 years. Modified from Jansen et al. (2018).



Since the specific fertility class of Life Terra plantation is mostly not determined, the estimation procedure uses National GHG Inventory Reports and NFIs to increase the accuracy of biomass and carbon estimation.

### **National GHG Inventory Reports and NFIs in Europe**

The parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC thereon) are required to annually report their GHG emissions and removals by sources and sinks. These GHG reports often include the most up-to-date information on the local NFI and tools for tree and forest biomass estimation used. Cienciala et al. (2008b) evaluated NFI programs in Europe in terms of supplying information needed for emission inventory on forestry under UNFCCC and Kyoto Protocol. They showed that NFI programs in most countries are the major data source on forests. Therefore, they may serve for supplying the essential information in terms of quantifying above-ground biomass (among others) and carbon content that could be applicable for Life Terra.

Three key data sources are analyzed: 1) NIRs containing relevant data for biomass carbon content estimation and representative biomass functions for the country-specific tree species. 2) National sample-based forest inventories, such as NFI, that contain data on tree and stand characteristics and volumetric and/or biomass functions. 3) Yield tables from which the stand dimensions for individual species can be extracted by site productivity classes. The applicable information regarding these three sources is processed according to the guidance on biomass estimation made by Cienciala et al. (2022) to derive the representative site index, survival rate and representative tree and stand dimensions at age 40 years. The steps followed to analyze the information are summarized below.

- 1. A list of the country-specific tree species for Life Terra was extracted.
- 2. NIRs on GHG emissions and yield tables for each of the countries were analyzed. The relevant information regarding tree and stand growth, forest density and biomass functions was extracted.
- 3. Survival rate and site index by country, tree species, and/or species group type was estimated using available NFI data according to Cienciala et al. (2022). This estimated site index is labeled as a guiding site index (GSI) thereon.
- 4. Tree and stand dimensions were retrieved from yield tables using the GSI estimated in step 3. When the guiding site index was between two site productivity classes of a given yield table, a linear interpolation to extract the available dimensions at age 40 years was carried out.
- 5. Available tree and stand dimensions at age 40 years were also extracted from the NFI data. These tree and stand dimensions were compared with the ones retrieved from the yield tables in step 4.

The analysis of NIR and NFI was carried out specifically for the European countries where Life Terra has planted trees (September/2022) - Germany,



Spain, Portugal, Italy, The Netherlands, United Kingdom, Czech Republic, Egypt, Romania, Belgium, Ireland, Greece, France, Malta, Costa Rica. Check the full report for this analysis <u>here</u>.

### Estimation procedure for tree level biomass

To estimate tree and stand biomass in Life Terra plantations as assumed at 40 years stand age, the following steps are taken:

- 1. Yield table stand dimensions (DBH and H at 40 years for the published site index range) are used as input variables for the available species-specific allometric equations.
- 2. The outputs of the allometric equations from Step 1 were processed to get the min, mean and max total dry weight biomass [t/tree] by biogeographic regions, countries, tree species and productivity given by the three site index analyzed (mean, upper-middle (P75) and GSI)
- 3. The output from Step 2 was further aggregated to obtain representative tree biomass for forest type (broadleaved, coniferous), biogeographic region and productivity given by the aforementioned site indexes.
- 4. Life Terra database of all planted species was complemented so as to provide tree biomass estimates for all species (Annex I) using the output from Step 3 for the species beyond the guiding species where biomass estimates were available directly as specified in Step 2. This makes the biomass estimation complete at tree level.

The estimated biomass is given as, 1) a single value which was considered to be the most likely biomass that individual trees would reach at expected age 40 years, and 2) a range representing the uncertainty of the estimation according to scientific literature, yield models, and National Forest Inventories (NFIs). The individual biomass value is determined as follows:

- When GSI is known, the individual value is determined as the estimated biomass for that specific GSI.
- When GSI is missing, the mentioned individual value is determined as the average between the estimated biomass reached in mean and percentile 75 (P75) site fertility conditions of the range given in the yield models.

The range of biomass is determined as follows:

- When GSI is known, the range is established using the standard deviation (68.2%), i.e., ±34.1%.
- When GSI is missing, the range is determined as the estimated biomass reached in mean and percentile 75 (P75) site fertility conditions.



### From tree level to plantation level

Life Terra database contains the number of trees per site that has been planted, with information about the species, the date of planting and its location. To upscale the biomass estimates from tree level to plantation and/or stand scale, the number of planted trees (tree count) is used. The results can be expressed in total amount of biomass [t of dry weight biomass per plantation site] or in biomass per hectare [t/ha] when the boundaries of the plantation are accurately mapped.

### Mortality considerations

To estimate biomass in the future (tree/stand age of 40 years) it is important to consider tree mortality and management interventions that could reduce the number of trees per site over time. Tree planting of Life Terra includes a wide range of environments. Life Terra estimated different survival rates depending on the planting objective. The possible planting objectives in Life Terra can be:

- Ecological restoration
- Timber plantation
- Food forest/Agroforestry
- Garden
- Green infrastructure
- Other

#### Ecological restoration

Plantings with an ecological restoration objective seek to initiate or accelerate ecosystem recovery following damage, degradation, or destruction. Usually this means very harsh conditions (i.e. after forest fires, mines, etc.), in which is not expected very high survival rates. A survival rate of 50% could be suitable for these plantations based on experience and in other tree planting projects.

#### Timber plantation

Timber plantations seek growing trees to sell their wood. It is important to reinforce that Life Terra does not foster production forests of fast-growing species and only works with bigger cycles of 40 to 60 years (Life Terra has agreements with all landowners forbidding them to cut down the trees in 40 years minimum).

A more accurate assessment of survival rate can be carried out by the analysis of the applicable yield tables. The age-related decline of mean tree density (trees/ha) in timber plantations on forest land over time was assessed using yield tables by biogeographic regions, countries, tree species, group type and mean site index at different ages. For that, the number of trees/ha at planting age was derived from an exponential function that fitted the processed data (Fig. 3).



A general function was applied with the data of all biogeographic regions, countries, tree species, group type and mean site index for those cases when the number of trees/ha planting age could not be derived.

A ratio between the number of trees/ha at age 40 years and the derived number of trees/ha at planting age represents the survival rate. Since it is derived from yield tables, it includes both the effect of mortality and management interventions. Table 6 in Results provides the details of this assessment.

Note that the correction of biomass estimates due to mortality based on yield tables can only be used for plantations that use the expected planting density. If the actual planting is significantly smaller (less than  $\frac{1}{2}$  of the derived planting density), the mortality correction is not applicable.



*Fig. 3: Estimated trends in mean number of trees/ha over time by biogeographic regions and species group types.* 

#### Food forest/Agroforestry

Agroforestry is the intentional integration of trees and shrubs into crop and animal farming systems to create environmental, economic, and social benefits. Plantings with this objective usually take place in cropland, which tends to be more fertile than the land where ecological restoration is needed. Additionally, these plantings are located in more accessible places, which allows easier maintenance and supervision from the landowner. Given all these aspects, it could be expected an average survival rate of 80%.

#### <u>Gardens</u>



Life Terra fosters plantings in gardens as they help to stabilize the soil, filter water, decrease surface temperature, among other benefits. Garden plantings are characterized by having easy access to water and a high degree of maintenance work, as they take place in highly accessible land. Therefore, the survival rate in gardens is expected to be on average 90%.

#### Green Infrastructure

Green infrastructure is a strategically planned network of natural and semi-natural areas with other environmental features designed and managed to deliver a wide range of ecosystem services such as water purification, air quality, space for recreation and climate mitigation and adaptation. Despite these plantings being taken place close to maintenance structure and supervision, they are usually in very exposed areas (i.e. roads, parks, etc.) Therefore, the survival rate of green infrastructure plantings is assumed to be on average 65%.

#### <u>Other</u>

In case plantings do not fit any of the criteria above, Life Terra classifies them as "Other". This is a very broad definition and, consequently, very difficult to establish any kind of pattern. Thus, it is preferable for Life Terra to assume a conservative average survival rate of 50%.

### From dry weight biomass to C, and from C to CO<sub>2</sub> equivalent

To express the amount of C and its  $CO_2$  equivalent from biomass, the factor 0.5 is applied reflecting that around 50% of the biomass dry weight is C (depending on tree species can slightly change, (Thomas and Martin, 2012)). So that, amount of C  $\approx$  0.5\*TB, where TB is total biomass [t of dry weight]. The  $CO_2$  equivalent is calculated using a molar-weight ratio  $CO_2/C= 44/12$ .



## **Results**

This section provides the biomass estimates at tree level, stand (plantation) level and estimates of mortality (survival rates) reflecting the methodological approaches described above.

### **Tree level**

A list of the estimated dry weight biomass [t/tree] for three different site productivity conditions (average site index, upper-middle P75 site index, and maximum site index) by biogeographic regions, countries and tree species is shown in Table 2.

Table 2: Estimated dry weight biomass per tree at 40 years by countries, tree species and site index (SI; average, upper-middle P75 and GSI).

Country	Species	Living I	piomass   t/tree]	by SI	Estimated living biomass [t/tree]		
-	•	AVG SI	P75	GSI	Min	Mean	Max
Austria	Abies alba	0.054	0.117	-	0.054	0.086	0.117
Austria	Fagus sylvatica	0.084	0.128	-	0.084	0.106	0.128
Austria	Larix decidua	0.145	0.224	-	0.145	0.185	0.224
Austria	Picea abies	0.058	0.084	-	0.058	0.071	0.084
Austria	Pinus cembra	0.074	0.074	-	0.074	0.074	0.074
Austria	Pinus sylvestris	0.071	0.098	-	0.071	0.085	0.098
Czech Republic	Abies alba	0.130	0.235	-	0.130	0.183	0.235
Czech Republic	Alnus glutinosa	0.096	0.149	-	0.096	0.123	0.149
Czech Republic	Betula pendula	0.111	0.185	-	0.111	0.148	0.185
Czech Republic	Carpinus betulus	0.077	0.111	-	0.077	0.094	0.111
Czech Republic	Fagus sylvatica	0.079	0.134	0.092	0.061	0.092	0.123
Czech Republic	Fraxinus excelsior	0.215	0.388	-	0.215	0.302	0.388
Czech Republic	Larix decidua	0.164	0.271	0.189	0.125	0.189	0.253
Czech Republic	Picea abies	0.094	0.160	0.120	0.079	0.120	0.161
Czech Republic	Pinus sylvestris	0.086	0.154	0.131	0.086	0.131	0.176
Czech Republic	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.140	0.204	-	0.140	0.172	0.204
Czech Republic	Quercus robur	0.088	0.159	0.075	0.049	0.075	0.101
France	Picea abies	-	-	0.170	0.112	0.170	0.228
France	Pinus sylvestris	-	-	0.098	0.065	0.098	0.131
Germany	Fagus sylvatica	-	-	0.021	0.014	0.021	0.028
Germany	Picea abies	-	-	0.077	0.051	0.077	0.103
Germany	Pinus sylvestris	-	-	0.051	0.034	0.051	0.068
Germany	Pseudotsuga menziesii	-	-	0.284	0.187	0.284	0.381
Germany	Quercus robur	-	-	0.079	0.052	0.079	0.106
Spain	Juniperus oxycedrus	-	-	0.052	0.034	0.052	0.070
Spain	Pinus halepensis	0.119	0.166	0.152	0.100	0.152	0.204

Country	Species	Living [	biomass   t/tree]	by SI	Estimated living biomass [t/tree]			
-	•	AVG SI	P75	GSI	Min	Mean	Max	
Spain	Pinus nigra	0.165	0.250	0.310	0.204	0.310	0.416	
Spain	Pinus pinaster	0.254	0.321	0.136	0.090	0.136	0.182	
Spain	Pinus pinea	0.155	0.236	-	0.155	0.196	0.236	
Spain	Pinus ucinata	-	-	0.112	0.074	0.112	0.150	
Spain	Pinus sylvestris	0.095	0.136	0.065	0.043	0.065	0.087	
Spain	Quercus ilex	0.272	0.421	0.107	0.071	0.107	0.143	
Spain	Quercus pyrenaica	-	-	0.095	0.063	0.095	0.127	
The Netherlands	Acer pseudoplatanus	0.243	0.298	-	0.243	0.271	0.298	
The Netherlands	Alnus glutinosa	0.104	0.129	-	0.104	0.117	0.129	
The Netherlands	Betula pendula	0.085	0.108	-	0.085	0.097	0.108	
The Netherlands	Fagus sylvatica	0.171	0.266	-	0.171	0.219	0.266	
The Netherlands	Fraxinus excelsior	0.177	0.219	-	0.177	0.198	0.219	
The Netherlands	Picea abies	0.148	0.236	-	0.148	0.192	0.236	
The Netherlands	Pinus nigra	0.076	0.168	-	0.076	0.122	0.168	
The Netherlands	Pinus sylvestris	0.066	0.112	-	0.066	0.089	0.112	
The Netherlands	Populus tremula	0.078	0.078	-	0.078	0.078	0.078	
The Netherlands	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.305	0.436	-	0.305	0.371	0.436	
The Netherlands	Quercus robur	0.111	0.179	-	0.111	0.145	0.179	
The Netherlands	Quercus rubra	0.138	0.270	-	0.138	0.204	0.270	

A list of number of trees/ha for average site productivity conditions (average site index) by biogeographic regions, countries and tree species is shown in Table 3.

Table	3:	Number	of	trees/ha	at	40	years	(N40y)	by	biogeographic	regions,	countries,	tree	species	and
mean	site	e index (S	SI).												

Bioregion	Country	Species	AVG SI	Group type	AVG N40y
Alpine	AT	Abies alba	30	Con.	7 106
Alpine	AT	Fagus sylvatica	30	Broad.	2 769
Alpine	AT	Larix decidua	29	Con.	1 542
Alpine	AT	Picea abies	27	Con.	2 274
Alpine	AT	Pinus cembra	16	Con.	1 512
Alpine	AT	Pinus sylvestris	26	Con.	3 072
Atlantic	NL	Acer pseudoplatanus	20	Broad.	888
Atlantic	NL	Alnus glutinosa	18	Broad.	1 520
Atlantic	NL	Betula pendula	18	Broad.	1 162
Atlantic	NL	Fagus sylvatica	22	Broad.	1 914
Atlantic	NL	Fraxinus excelsior	21	Broad.	1 207
Atlantic	NL	Picea abies	18	Con.	1 950
Atlantic	NL	Pinus nigra ssp. laricio	17	Con.	2 581
Atlantic	NL	Pinus nigra ssp. nigra	13	Con.	3 334
Atlantic	NL	Pinus sylvestris	18	Con.	2 769

Bioregion	Country	Species	AVG SI	Group type	AVG N40y
Atlantic	NL	Populus cul.	25	Broad.	274
Atlantic	NL	Populus tremula	14	Broad.	1 149
Atlantic	NL	Pseudotsuga menziesii	29	Con.	972
Atlantic	NL	Quercus robur	19	Broad.	2 023
Atlantic	NL	Quercus rubra	21	Broad.	1 926
Continental	CZ	Abies alba	28	Con.	2 406
Continental	CZ	Alnus glutinosa	22	Broad.	891
Continental	CZ	Betula pendula	20	Broad.	1 393
Continental	CZ	Carpinus betulus	18	Broad.	1 840
Continental	CZ	Fagus sylvatica	26	Broad.	2 783
Continental	CZ	Fraxinus excelsior	26	Broad.	1 204
Continental	CZ	Larix decidua	28	Con.	1 080
Continental	CZ	Picea abies	26	Con.	1 958
Continental	CZ	Pinus sylvestris	22	Con.	2 096
Continental	CZ	Populus cul.	28	Broad.	377
Continental	CZ	Pseudotsuga menziesii	34	Con.	1 195
Continental	CZ	Quercus robur	22	Broad.	2 562
Mediterranean	ES	Pinus halepensis	17	Con.	1 025
Mediterranean	ES	Pinus nigra	19	Con.	1 417
Mediterranean	ES	Pinus pinaster ssp. mesogeensis	27	Con.	704
Mediterranean	ES	Pinus pinea	15	Con.	578
Mediterranean	ES	Pinus sylvestris	24	Con.	2 124
Bioregion = bio Austria; NL = No	ogeographic etherlands; (	region; Broad. = Broadleaved; Co CZ = Czech Republic; ES = Spain	on. = Con	ifers; AVG = av	verage; AT =

A list of estimated tree dry weight biomass [t/tree] at age 40 for three different site productivity conditions (average site index, upper-middle P75 site index, and maximum site index) by biogeographic regions, countries and group type is shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Estimated dry weight biomass per tree at 40 years by biogeographic regions, countries, species group type and site index (SI; average, upper-middle P75 and maximum). Average and maximum site index is also listed.

			SI	[ <b>m</b> ]	Living	[t/tree]		
Bioregion	Country	Group type	AVG	MAX	AVG SI	P75	MAX SI	
Alpine	AT	Broad.	30	39	0.084	0.128	0.172	
Alpine	AT	Con.	26	35	0.081	0.119	0.158	
Atlantic	NL	Broad.	20	24	0.190	0.257	0.324	
Atlantic	NL	Con.	19	26	0.139	0.224	0.309	
Continental	CZ	Broad.	23	31	0.148	0.237	0.325	
Continental	CZ	Con.	28	37	0.123	0.205	0.287	
Mediterranean	ES	Broad.	30	45	0.272	0.421	0.570	
Mediterranean	ES	Con.	20	26	0.158	0.222	0.286	
Bioregion = biogeographic region; Broad. = Broadleaved; Con. = Conifers; AVG =average; MAX = maximum; AT = Austria; NL = Netherlands; CZ = Czech Republic; ES = Spain								



#### <u>Shrubs</u>

The dry weight biomass of *Frangula alnus* aged 26 years is 0.021 t/shrub as estimated according to (Hamelin et al., 2015)

### Stand (plantation) level

A list of estimated stand dry weight biomass [t/ha] at age 40 years for three different site productivity conditions (average site index, upper-middle P75 site index, and maximum site index) by biogeographic regions, countries and group type is shown in Table 5.

Table 5: Stand level estimated dry weight biomass per hectare at age 40 years by biogeographic regions, countries, species group type and site index (SI; average, upper-middle P75 and maximum). Average and maximum site index is also listed.

				SI [m]		Living biomass [t/ha]		
Bioregion	Ctry.	Group type	N40y	AVG	MAX	AVG SI	P75	MAX SI
Alpine	AT	Broad.	2 769	30.0	39.4	231.5	354.4	477.4
Alpine	AT	Con.	3 101	25.6	35.4	250.0	370.4	490.7
Atlantic	NL	Broad.	1 340	19.7	24.0	254.0	344.0	434.0
Atlantic	NL	Con.	2 321	18.9	25.8	323.6	520.9	718.2
Continental	CZ	Broad.	1 579	23.1	30.9	233.9	373.5	513.1
Continental	CZ	Con.	1 747	27.6	36.8	215.0	357.9	500.8
Mediterranean	ES	Con.	1 169	30.0	45.0	317.8	492.1	666.4
Mediterranean	ES	Broad.	NA	20.2	25.6	NA	NA	NA

Bioregion = biogeographic region; Broad. = Broadleaved; Con. = Conifers; AVG average; N40y = number of trees/ha at age 40 years; Ctry. = Country; AT = Austria; NL = Netherlands; CZ = Czech Republic; ES = Spain; NA = Not available

### **Countries representing biogeographic regions**

A list of which countries represent each biogeographic region. This selection is based on the data available for each country and its geographical location. Table 6. The Biogeographic regions not listed still need to have a representative country assigned.

Table 6: Representative country per biogeographic region.

Biogeographic region	Representative country
Atlantic	The Netherlands
Continental	Czech Republic
Alpine	Austria
Mediterranean	Spain





### Survival rates in timber plantations

A list of estimated survival rates due to tree mortality and management interventions by country and species group types for mean site index conditions is shown in Table 7.

Table 7: Mortality and management interventions effect on the reduction of number of trees over time

	Conif	ers	Broadlea	aved
Country	Survival rate	Mortality	Survival rate	Mortality
Germany	0.368	0.632	0.326	0.674
Spain	0.551	0.449	0.551	0.449
Italy	0.603	0.397	0.551	0.449
The Netherlands	0.195	0.805	0.246	0.754
Czech Republic	0.432	0.568	0.415	0.585
Malta	0.603	0.397	0.588	0.412
Austria	0.500	0.500	0.641	0.359

# Discussion

### Biomass estimates – issues to consider

#### Consideration of species

Data from 12 yield tables (YT) from four different countries were used to aid estimation of tree living biomass at age 40 years, including the number of species and corresponding species-specific biomass functions by biogeographic regions as follows:

- 1 YT from Austria (Alpine region): 6 tree species
- 1 YT from Netherlands (Atlantic): 14 tree species
- 2 YT from the Czech Republic (Continental): 12 tree species
- 8 YT from Spain (Mediterranean): 6 tree species

Although this material is rather extensive, it remains limited considering the wide geographical scope, site conditions and plant material used in Life Terra plantation efforts. Obviously, the improved estimates can be expected once the locally specific biomass functions would be available and used instead of the substituting values made of species/plant type averages. Annex I offers guidance for Life Terra tree species for which the estimates could be made more accurate in this way.

#### <u>Shrubs</u>

The literature on biomass estimates for shrubs is severely limited. This is because shrubs have other important ecological functions such as reducing soil erosion and increasing biodiversity. These are more important than the naturally low and variable carbon sequestration capacity. The single biomass functions for *Frangula alnus* at tree (shrub) scale by Hamelin et al. (2015) used here is only a pragmatic substitute for shrub estimates that should be applied with care for shrub ecosystems established by Life Terra in European conditions. It would be advisable to use other indicators for restoring land using shrubs, such as affected area or area cover (in spatial units) instead of highly uncertain and quantitatively insignificant biomass estimates. This could also be conveniently aided by remote sensing products.

#### Stand level estimates

Stand scale biomass estimates are needed for large-scale biomass assessments and intercomparison of growth performance among sites and regions. It is important to stress that stand level assessment for Life Terra plantations requires accurate mapping of the actually planted area and its boundaries to make the biomass estimates per hectare trustable.



#### Mortality and management considerations

For the projection estimates (tree/stand age of 40 years) that are described in this text, it is important to consider the uncertainty associated with the assumed tree mortality and management interventions reducing the number of trees per site over time. This uncertainty can generally be reduced by using the available local information on growth conditions of trees and stands. This is specifically important for timber plantations.

In the adopted methodology, the initial general expert judgment of Life Terra for survival rate (40 %) was further analyzed by biogeographic region and species type providing more specific guidance on mortality (survival rate; Table 6). This assessment used information on stem density (trees/ha) at different stand age corresponding to the mean site productivity conditions as compiled in yield tables. However, this approach is also a generalization applied across a range of actual planting conditions of Life Terra sites. Additionally, some information is lacking or remain questionable – e.g., stem density information is missing for the broadleaved tree species in the Mediterranean biogeographic region and the assessed mean number of trees/ha at planting age is overly high for the conifer plantations in the Atlantic region (Fig. 3). Data on number of trees/ha at age 20 years for both broadleaves and conifers from the Alpine biogeographic regions is also limited.

A further improvement of mortality or survival rate assessment can be proposed by using the local (country-specific) sample-based National Forest Inventories (NFI) that are available in most European countries (Tomppo et al., 2010). These could help determine effects of mortality and management interventions based on the most recent empirical evidence. This approach can be demonstrated on forest inventory data in the Czech Republic. For that, data from the country-level landscape inventory project CzechTerra (Cienciala et al., 2016) was analyzed. The compilation of forestry data with respect to stem density (trees/ha) can reveal the actual age-dependency of this variable for desired species or species type (Fig. 4). That information can directly be used to assess locally specific survival rate.

Moreover, the sample-based programs such as NFIs or similar offer other usable information to be used for improving biomass assessment. Specifically, representative site indexes (stand height at 100 years) can be derived for the desired local tree species or species group or type. Using the example of Czech Republic and the sampling of CzechTerra, the representative site index in this country was assessed to be about 29 and 27 m for coniferous and broadleaved forests, respectively (Fig. 5).







Fig. 4: Number of trees/ha by stand age in the Czech Republic. Data from the CzechTerra project.



Fig. 5: Average tree height [m] by stand age in the Czech Republic. Data from the CzechTerra project.



## Conclusions

The biomass estimation procedure to assess biomass growth and carbon fixation for Life Terra plantations at the assumed age 40 years is presented. It describes the methodological assumptions used and the concrete methodological steps taken to assess the likely biomass range specific to biogeographic regions and species type. It also provides the guidance for further accuracy improvements using the locally available information on forest resources.

Accuracy of biomass estimates (and hence carbon sequestration capacity) can gradually be increased by using the locally available tree biomass equations, stand yield tables and information from sample-based forest inventories. Additional sources of usable data can be retrieved from the greenhouse gas emission inventories that include country-specific methodological approaches for forestry in line with the Good Practice of Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2006 and later). This has also been a guiding principle for the presented methodological guidance applicable for Life Terra plantation.

Also due to the above, it should be noted that this report used some qualitative and quantitative information that is actual with respect to the date of this report (September 2022). It will be gradually updated and revised in line with the available source material used for the estimation.



## References

2006 IPCC Guidelines for National Greenhouse Gas Inventories — IPCC, 2006. URL

https://www.ipcc.ch/report/2006-ipcc-guidelines-for-national-greenhouse -gas-inventories/ (accessed 7.21.22).

- Brandl, S., Mette, T., Falk, W., Vallet, P., Rötzer, T., Pretzsch, H., 2018. Static site indices from different national forest inventories: harmonization and prediction from site conditions. Ann. For. Sci. 75, 56. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0737-3
- Bronisz, K., Strub, M., Cieszewski, C.J., Bijak, S., Bronisz, A., Tomusiak, R., Wojtan, R., Zasada, M., 2016. Empirical equations for estimating aboveground biomass of Betula pendula growing on former farmland in central Poland. Silva Fenn. 50. https://doi.org/10.14214/sf.1559
- Canadell, J., Riba, M., Andrés, P., 1988. Biomass Equations for Quercus ilex L. in the Montseny Massif, Northeastern Spain. Forestry 61, 137–147. https://doi.org/10.1093/forestry/61.2.137

Cienciala, E., Albert, J., Blazek, P., Cerny, M., 2021. Biomass estimation procedure to assess CO<sub>2</sub> storage for trees and stands to be used in Life Terra, 11 pp.

Cienciala, E., Altman, J., Doležal, J., Kopáček, J., Štěpánek, P., Ståhl, G., Tumajer, J., 2018. Increased spruce tree growth in Central Europe since 1960s. Sci. Total Environ. 619–620, 1637–1647. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2017.10.138

Cienciala, E., Apltauer, J., Exnerová, Z., Tatarinov, F., 2008. Biomass functions applicable to oak trees grown in Central-European forestry. J. For. Sci. 54, 109–120. https://doi.org/10.17221/2906-JFS

Cienciala, E., Černý, M., Tatarinov, F., Apltauer, J., Exnerová, Z., 2006. Biomass functions applicable to Scots pine. Trees 20, 483–495. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00468-006-0064-4

Fortier, J., Truax, B., Gagnon, D., Lambert, F., 2017. Allometric Equations for Estimating Compartment Biomass and Stem Volume in Mature Hybrid Poplars: General or Site-Specific? Forests 8, 309. https://doi.org/10.3390/f8090309

Gasparini, P., Nocetti, M., Tabacchi, G., Tosi, V., 2006. Biomass equations and data for forest stands and shrublands of the Eastern Alps (Trentino, Italy).

- Hamelin, C., Gagnon, D., Truax, B., 2015. Aboveground Biomass of Glossy Buckthorn is Similar in Open and Understory Environments but Architectural Strategy Differs. Forests 6, 1083–1093. https://doi.org/10.3390/f6041083
- Jagodziński, A.M., Dyderski, M.K., Gęsikiewicz, K., Horodecki, P., 2018. Tree- and Stand-Level Biomass Estimation in a Larix decidua Mill. Chronosequence. Forests 9, 587. https://doi.org/10.3390/f9100587

- Jansen, J.J., Mohren, G.M.J., Oosterbaan, A., Goudzwaard, L., Ouden, J. den, 2018. Groei en productie van grove den in Nederland. https://doi.org/10.18174/444090
- Kahle, H.-P., Karjalainen, T., Schuck, A., Ågren, G.I., Kellomäki, S., Mellert, K., Prietzel, J., Rehfuess, K.-E., Spiecker, H., 2008. Causes and Consequences of Forest Growth Trends in Europe: Results of the Recognition Project. BRILL.
- Liepiņš, J., Liepiņš, K., Lazdiņš, A., 2021. Equations for estimating the aboveand belowground biomass of grey alder (Alnus incana (L.) Moench.) and common alder (Alnus glutinosa L.) in Latvia. Scand. J. For. Res. 36, 389–400. https://doi.org/10.1080/02827581.2021.1937696
- Marklund, L.G., 1989. Biomassafunktioner för tall, gran och björk i Sverige =. Sveriges lantbruksuniversitet, Institutionen för skogstaxering. In Swedish.
- Pretzsch, H., Biber, P., Schütze, G., Uhl, E., Rötzer, T., 2014. Forest stand growth dynamics in Central Europe have accelerated since 1870. Nat. Commun. 5, 4967. https://doi.org/10.1038/ncomms5967
- Pretzsch, H., Steckel, M., Heym, M., Biber, P., Ammer, C., Ehbrecht, M., Bielak, K., Bravo, F., Ordóñez, C., Collet, C., Vast, F., Drössler, L., Brazaitis, G., Godvod, K., Jansons, A., de-Dios-García, J., Löf, M., Aldea, J., Korboulewsky, N., Reventlow, D.O.J., Nothdurft, A., Engel, M., Pach, M., Skrzyszewski, J., Pardos, M., Ponette, Q., Sitko, R., Fabrika, M., Svoboda, M., Černý, J., Wolff, B., Ruíz-Peinado, R., del Río, M., 2020. Stand growth and structure of mixed-species and monospecific stands of Scots pine (Pinus sylvestris L.) and oak (Q. robur L., Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) analysed along a productivity gradient through Europe. Eur. J. For. Res. 139, 349–367. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-019-01233-y
- Rock, J., 2007. Suitability of published biomass equations for aspen in Central Europe—Results from a case study. Biomass Bioenergy 31, 299–307. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2007.01.003
- Ruiz-Peinado Gertrudix, R., 2013. Modelos para la estimación del carbono en la biomasa de los sistemas forestales: influencia de la selvicultura en los socks de carbono. https://doi.org/10.35376/10324/4437
- Somogyi, Z., Cienciala, E., Mäkipää, R., Muukkonen, P., Lehtonen, A., Weiss, P., 2007. Indirect methods of large-scale forest biomass estimation. Eur. J. For. Res. 126, 197–207. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10342-006-0125-7
- Suchomel, C., Pyttel, P., Becker, G., Bauhus, J., 2012. Biomass equations for sessile oak (Quercus petraea (Matt.) Liebl.) and hornbeam (Carpinus betulus L.) in aged coppiced forests in southwest Germany. Biomass Bioenergy, International Conference on Lignocellulosic ethanol 46, 722–730. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biombioe.2012.06.021
- Thomas, S.C., Martin, A.R., 2012. Carbon Content of Tree Tissues: A Synthesis. Forests 3, 332–352. https://doi.org/10.3390/f3020332
- Vonderach, C., Kändler, G., Dormann, C.F., 2018. Consistent set of additive biomass functions for eight tree species in Germany fit by nonlinear



seemingly unrelated regression. Ann. For. Sci. 75, 49. https://doi.org/10.1007/s13595-018-0728-4

- Wirth, C., Schumacher, J., Schulze, E.-D., 2004. Generic biomass functions for Norway spruce in Central Europe--a meta-analysis approach toward prediction and uncertainty estimation. Tree Physiol. 24, 121–139. https://doi.org/10.1093/treephys/24.2.121
- Wutzler, T., Wirth, C., Schumacher, J., 2008. Generic biomass functions for Common beech (Fagus sylvatica) in Central Europe: predictions and components of uncertainty. Can. J. For. Res. 38, 1661–1675. https://doi.org/10.1139/X07-194

## LIFE19 CCM/NL/001200

# Annex I

\* \* \* \* \* *Life* \* \* \* + \*

Guadaa	Guiding species by biogeographic regions						
Species	Atlantic	Continental	Continental Mediterranean				
Platanus occidentalis	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Ulex parviflorus	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Abies alba	Picea abies	Abies alba	Conifers	Abies alba			
Acer campestre	Acer	Fraxinus excelsior	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Acer monspessulanum	pseudoplatanus Acer pseudoplatanus	Fraxinus excelsior	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Acer opalus	Acer nseudoplatanus	Fraxinus excelsior	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Acer pseudoplatanus	Acer pseudoplatanus	Fraxinus excelsior	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Acer tataricum	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Aesculus hippocastanum	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Alnus glutinosa	Alnus glutinosa	Alnus glutinosa	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Alnus viridis	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Amelanchier lamarckii	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Arbutus unedo	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Aronia melanocarpa	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Berberis thunbergii	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Berberis vulgaris	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Betula alba	Betula pendula	Betula pendula	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Betula pendula	Betula pendula	Betula pendula	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Carpinus betulus	Broadleaved	Carpinus betulus	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Casuarina sp.	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Castanea sativa	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Cedrus atlantica	Conifers	Larix decidua	Conifers	Larix decidua			
Cedrus libani	Conifers	Larix decidua	Conifers	Larix decidua			
Celtis australis	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Ceratonia siliqua	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Chamaerops humilis	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cistus albidus	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cistus ladanifer	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cistus salviifolius	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cornus kousa	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cornus mas	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Corylus avellana	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Corylus colurna	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved	Broadleaved			
Cotoneaster bullatus	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cotoneaster dielsianus	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Crataegus monogyna	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs	Shrubs			
Cryptomeria japonica	Conifers	Conifers	Conifers	Conifers			
Cupressus sempervirens	Conifers	Conifers	Conifers	Conifers			



Cytisus proliferus Elaeagnus angustifolia Elaeagnus umbellata Fagus sylvatica

Ficus carica Frangula alnus Fraxinus angustifolia Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus ornus Hibiscus syriacus Ilex aquifolium Juglans regia Juniperus communis Juniperus oxycedrus Juniperus thurifera Larix decidua Ligustrum vulgare Mahonia aquifolium Malus sylvestris Medicago arborea Melia azedarach Mespilus germanica Morus alba Myrtus communis Nerium oleander Olea europaea Pinus brutia Pinus halepensis Pinus nigra Pinus pinaster Pinus pinea Pinus sylvestris Pistacia lentiscus Pistacia terebinthus Platanus hispanica Platanus orientalis

Populus alba

Populus nigra

Prunus avium

Prunus dulcis

Prunus mahaleb

Populus tremula

Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs *Fagus sylvatica* 

Broadleaved Shrubs Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus excelsior Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Conifers Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Pinus nigra Pinus sylvestris Pinus nigra Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Populus tremula Populus tremula Populus tremula Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs

Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Fagus sylvatica Broadleaved Shrubs Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus excelsior Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Larix decidua Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Betula pendula Betula pendula Betula pendula Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs

Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Conifers Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Pinus nigra Pinus halepensis Pinus nigra Pinus pinaster Pinus pinea Pinus sylvestris Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs

Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Fagus sylvatica Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Larix decidua Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus svlvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris Pinus svlvestris Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved

#### D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Broadleaved

Shrubs

Prunus padus Prunus spinosa Pseudotsuga menziesii

Punica granatum Pyrus pyraster Quercus cerris Quercus coccifera Quercus faginea Quercus ilex Quercus macedonicus Quercus petraea Quercus pubescens Quercus pyrenaica Quercus robur Quercus rubra Quercus suber Retama sphaerocarpa Rhamnus alpina Rhamnus cathartica Rhamnus frangula Rhamnus lycioides Ribes nigrum RIbes rubrum Rosa canina Rosa rubiginosa Rosa rugosa Salix alba Salix atrocinerea Salix cinerea Salix sp. Sambucus nigra Sequoiadendron giganteum Sequoia sempervirens Sorbus aria Sorbus aucuparia Sorbus domestica Sorbus torminalis Tetraclinis articulata Tilia cordata TIlia platyphyllos Ulmus laevis Ulmus minor Viburnum lantana Viburnum opulus Malus domestica

Shrubs Shrubs Pseudotsuga menziesii Shrubs Broadleaved Quercus rubra Shrubs Quercus robur Quercus rubra Quercus robur Shrubs Populus tremula Populus tremula Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Pseudotsuga menziesii Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Conifers Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Shrubs

Broadleaved

Shrubs Shrubs Pseudotsuga menziesii Shrubs Broadleaved Quercus robur Shrubs Quercus robur Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Pseudotsuga menziesii Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Conifers Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved

Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Quercus ilex Shrubs Quercus ilex Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Conifers Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved

D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Conifers Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Conifers Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved

Morus nigra Pistacia vera Prunus armeniaca prunus mira Prunus persica Rubus fruticosus Rubus idaeus Spartium junceum Bougainvillea glabra Bougainvillea spectabilis Cercis siliquastrum Citrus japonica Diospyros kaki Diospyros virginiana Hibiscus rosa-sinensis Lagerstroemia indica Lycium barbarum magnolia Liliiflora magnolia stellata Vitex agnus-castus Genista monosperma Tamarix gallica Rhamnus alaternus Buxus sempervirens Juniperus phoenicea Salix viminalis Phyllirea angustifolia Phyllirea latifolia Abelia grandiflora Hippophae rhamnoides Flueggea tinctoria Rosa sempervirens Prunus cerasifera Pyrus communis Salix salviifolia Salix fragilis Jasminum fruticans Salix purpurea Pyrus bourgaeana Viburnum tinus Prunus domestica Ruscus aculeatus Laurus nobilis Cornus sanguinea Picea abies Cytisus scoparius

Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Populus tremula Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Picea abies

Shrubs

#### Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Picea abies Shrubs

#### Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Conifers Shrubs

D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Picea abies Shrubs

Vaccinum myrtillus Salix caprea Salix trianda Betula pubescens Euonymus europaeus Eucalyptus nitens Genista scorpus Juglans cinerea Crataegus ellwangeriana Asimina triloba Cydonia oblonga Salix babylonica Liquidambar styraciflua Acer davidii

Pseudocydonia sinensis Salix eleagnos Anagyris foetida Jacobaea maritima Teucrium fruticans Ruta graveolens Taxus baccata Ulmus pumila Ulmus glabra Abies grandis Ribes odoratum Acer platanoides

Liriodendron tulipifera

Actinidia arguta Dendrocalamus asper Eucalyptus globulus Liriodendron tulipifera

Morus bombycis Opuntia ficus-indica Opuntia macrocentra Parajubaea torallyi Phoenix dactylifera Ribes nidigrolaria Robinia pseudoacacia Cytisus multiflorus Diospyros lotus Elaeagnus multiflora Eucalyptus camaldulensis Genista florida Shrubs Populus tremula Shrubs Betula pendula Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Populus tremula Broadleaved Acer pseudoplatanus Acer pseudoplatanus Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Picea abies Shrubs Acer pseudoplatanus Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Acer pseudoplatanus Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Quercus robur Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs

Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Betula pendula Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Fraxinus excelsior Fraxinus excelsior Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Alnus glutinosa Abies alba Shrubs Fraxinus excelsior Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Fraxinus excelsior Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Quercus robur Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs

#### Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Conifers Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs

Broadleaved

Broadleaved

Broadleaved

Shrubs

Shrubs

Shrubs

Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Abies alba Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved

Shrubs

#### D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Shrubs

Handroanthus impetiginosus Maackia amurensis Prunus cerasus Prunus insititia Atriplex halimus Vitis vinifera Ziziphus jujuba Erica arborea Acacia spectabilis Alnus cordata Caragana arborescens Carya illinoinensis Chorisia speciosa Citrus limon Citrus reticulata Crataegus aestivalis Juncus effusus Tamarix africana Teucrium flavum Lonicera implexa Vaccinium macrocarpon Actinidia kolomikta Alnus incana Amelanchier ovalis Citrus sinensis Citrus unshiu Shepherdia argentea Tetradium daniellii Myrica gale Ligustrum japonicum Salvia officinalis Salvia rosmarinus Pyracantha coccinea Matthiola incana Prasium majus Decaisnea fargesii Zanthoxylum piperitum Citrus x junos Sambucus racemosa Humulus lupulus Ribes uva-crispa Juglans nigra Ulex europaeus Osmanthus heterophyllus Chimonanthus praecox

Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs

Shrubs

#### Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Alnus glutinosa Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs

Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs

D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs

Thuja occidentalis Schinus molle Tilia x europaea Citrus × paradisi Elaeagnus submacrophylla Lonicera caerulea Paulownia tomentosa Syringa vulgaris Rubus occidentalis Forsythia x intermedia Aronia prunifolia Chaenomeles japonica Amelanchier alnifolia Glaucium flavum Crithmum maritimum Ruta chalepensis Corymbia citriodora Ostrya carpinifolia Laburnum anagyroides Paliurus spina-christi Metasequoia glyptostroboides

Conifers Broadleaved Alnus glutinosa Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers

#### Conifers Broadleaved Alnus glutinosa Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers

D.1 Technical Annex – Guidance on biomass estimation 09/2022

Conifers Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers

Conifers Broadleaved Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Shrubs Broadleaved Broadleaved Shrubs Shrubs Conifers

## LIFE19 CCM/NL/001200

# **Annex II**

\* /ife \* \* /ife \* \* \* \* \*

# **Biomass estimation example**

Step-by-step biomass estimation at 40 years of age of the Life Terra plantation in Vintířov, Czech Republic.

- Location: Vintířov, Czech Republic (Fig. 6) • Objective of the plantation: Ecological restoration 54 927 m<sup>2</sup> ≈ 5.49 ha Area of the plantation: Number of trees planted: 9 765 trees  $\approx$  1 778 trees/ha Cedrus atlantica 2 820 trees  $\approx$  513 trees/ha conifers • Cedrus libani 2 520 trees  $\approx$  459 trees/ha conifers • Pseudotsuga menziesii conifers

broadleaved

- Quercus petraea
- 1 502 trees  $\approx$  273 trees/ha
  - 2 923 trees  $\approx$  532 trees/ha





Fig. 6: Location of one of the Life Terra plantations. City: Vintířov, Czech Republic.



#### Step 1: Country of planting

The country where the planting took place is the Czech Republic. As there is data available for the Czech Republic, the respective biomass values at tree level will be used.

- In case there was no country specific data available, the respective biogeographic region (in this case CONTINENTAL) biomass values at tree level would be used.

#### Step 2: Total biomass at tree level

Using the biomass values in Table 2 by country, tree species and site indexes, the following results are obtained (Table A2. 1):

Table A2. 1: Dry weight biomass for individual trees at 40 years age. Example of calculation in a Life Terra plantation located in the Czech Republic.

		Living biomass [t/tree]			
Country	Tree species	Min	Mean	Max	
Czech Republic	Cedrus atlantica	NA	NA	NA	
Czech Republic	Cedrus libani	NA	NA	NA	
Czech Republic	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.140	0.172	0.204	
Czech Republic	Quercus petraea	NA	NA	NA	
NA = Not available:					

As can be seen in the table above, there is no available data for three tree species (*C. atlantica, C. libani* and *Q. petraea*). Annex I is used to group the species.

#### Step 3: Groups of species

By adding the species groups in Annex I to Table A1. 1, the following table can be constructed (Table A2. 2):

Table A2. 2: Dry weight biomass for individual trees at 40 years age using groups of species. Example of calculation in a Life Terra plantation located in the Czech Republic.

			Living biomass [t/tree]		
Country	Tree species	Species group	Min	Mean	Max
Czech Republic	Cedrus atlantica	Larix decidua	0.125	0.189	0.253
Czech Republic	Cedrus libani	Larix decidua	0.125	0.189	0.253
Czech Republic	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.140	0.172	0.204
Czech Republic	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	0.049	0.075	0.101

Step 4: Upscaling from tree level to stand level

The mathematical procedure: multiplying the total dry weight biomass [t/tree] by the total number of trees planted for each biogeographic region, tree species and site index conditions (Table A2. 3).



Table A2. 3: Total dry weight biomass at 40 years age. Example of calculation in a Life Terra plantation located in the Czech Republic.

			Living biomass [t/site]		
Country	Tree species	Species group	Min	Mean	Max
Czech Republic	Cedrus atlantica	Larix decidua	353	533	714
Czech Republic	Cedrus libani	Larix decidua	315	477	638
Czech Republic	Pseudotsuga menziesii	Pseudotsuga menziesii	210	258	307
Czech Republic	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	143	219	295
		Sum	1 021	1 487	1 954

Another way to express the stand level dry weight biomass of the plantation is in t/ha (Table A2. 4).

Table A2. 4: Dry weight biomass [t/ha] at 40 years age. Example of calculation in a Life Terra plantation located in the Czech Republic.

			Living biomass [t/ha]		
Country	Tree species	Species group	Min	Mean	Max
Czech Republic	Cedrus atlantica	Larix decidua	65	97	130
Czech Republic	Cedrus libani	Larix decidua	58	87	116
Czech Republic	P. menziesii	P. menziesii	38	47	56
Czech Republic	Quercus petraea	Quercus robur	26	40	54
		Sum	187	271	356

#### Step 5: Mortality and management interventions

As the planting objective is Ecological Restoration a survival rate of 50% is assumed.

Table A2. 2: Dry weight biomass for individual trees at 40 years age using groups of species. Example of calculation in a Life Terra plantation located in the Czech Republic.

			Living biomass [t/site]		
Country	Tree species	Survival rate	Min	Mean	Max
Czech Republic	Cedrus atlantica	0.5	177	267	357
Czech Republic	Cedrus libani	0.5	157	239	319
Czech Republic	Pseudotsuga menziesii	0.5	105	129	153
Czech Republic	Quercus petraea	0.5	72	109	148
		Sum	511	744	977

#### Results:

Life Terra planted 9,765 trees in Vintířov. The size of the plantation was 5.49 ha. The methodology estimates that in 40 years there would be an amount of dry matter between 93 and 178 t/ha (mid-value 135 t/ha;Table A2. 4). This, expressed in tons of  $CO_2$  equivalent, gives 171 and 326 t (248 t) of  $CO_2$  eq./ha.