



Financial Report on European Green Party Accounts for 2011 as adopted by the EGP Council in Copenhagen, 13th May 2012

## CONTENT

- Financial Report of Accounts 2011 in Budget format
- Balance Sheet 2011
- Memorandum on Accounts 2011
- Auditor's report

Breakdown of draft budget for 2011								
	Accounts	2010		Budget 2011	Financial repor	t 2011	GAP	Note
Eligible expenditure	Decided in Budapes	st	Decided in Ta	llinn	2011-12-31		in %	
Category 1: Personell costs	40	919		612 300		<u>551 752</u>	90%	
1.1. Salaries		3 089		422 200		302 487		
Permanent employees	226 726		311 700		178 691			
Extra staff	61 363		110 500		123 795			
I.2. Contributions	7	7 832		144 100		134 810		
Employer costs (social secretariat)	77 832		144 100		134 810			
1.3. Professional training	;	3 132		10 000		7 884		
Staff training	3 132		10 000		7 884			
I.4. Staff missions expenses	;	3 236		11 000		16 060		
Staff travel	3 236		10 000		9 095			
Staff other costs			1 000		6 965			
1.5. Other personell costs	3	4 630		25 000		90 512		
Other expences Secretary general	26 265		5 000		23 067			
Other personell costs (public transport, insurance)	8 365		20 000		16 609			
Honorary fees consultancys					50 835			
Category 2: Infrastructure and operating costs	12:	5 146		186 700		158 178	85%	
2.1. Rent, charges and maintenance costs		782		75 400		76 781	3070	
Office rent	46 685		62 400		63 170			
Charges (electricity, water, cleaning etc.)	4 336		8 000		10 610			
Other maintainence costs	4 761		5 000		3 002			
2.2. Costs relating to the installation,operation		6 948	3 000	15 000	0.002	14 972		
and maintenance of equipment				10 000				
Computers	757		1 000					
Copier	766		2 000		1 475			
Internet			2 000					
Office supplies small material					4 285			
Other equipment (installation and operation)	5 425		10 000		9 212			
2.3. Depreciation of movable and immovable property		1 566		13 000		16 259		
Furniture	•		2 000	10 000	1 310			
Computers			10 000		12 145			
Other office equipment	14 566		1 000		2 803			

2.4. Stationery and office supplies	5 992		8 000		8 197		
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	772	3 000		1 548			
·	220	5 000		6 649			
2.5. Postal and telecommunications charges	7 001		14 000		9 898		
_	221	2 000		610			
Telephones, mobile phones 7.2	222	12 000		9 288			
2.6. Printing, translation and reproduction costs	-		22 000		5 501		
Translations		20 000		266			
Other costs for producing materials		2 000		5 235			
2.7. Other infrastructure costs	34 857		39 300		26 570		
Other infrastructure costs 19 3	377	20 000		7 250			
Extra costs Committee members (150/180 e) 15 4	180	19 300		19 320			
Category 3: Administrative expenditure	32 796	<u>-</u>	98 500		38 652	39%	3
3.1. Documentation costs (newspapers, press agencies, database	•	4	68 000		848		
	315	15 000					
	141	50 000		102			
	335	3 000	40.000	746			
3.2. Costs of studies and research	10 000	40.000	10 000	0.400	2 426		
Research 10 0		10 000	4 000	2 426	40.570		
3.3. Legal costs	1 805	4.000	4 000	40.570	12 570		
	305	4 000	45 500	12 570	00.000		
3.4. Accounting and audit costs	14 241	44.000	15 500	40.045	22 808		
e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	352	11 000		16 345			
Auditing 4 3 3.5. Miscellaneous administrative costs	3.450	4 500	1 000	6 463			
	3 459	1 000	1 000		=		
	159	1 000					
Other consultancy costs Honorary fees Committée							
Category 4: Meeting and representation costs	378 263		526 000		647 951	123%	4
4.1. Costs of the meetings of the EFGP	321 666		442 000		586 446	12070	
Committee meetings 29 2		40 000	112 330	29 279			
Spring council 85 8		80 000		120 072			
Fall council/congress 2011 95 7		100 000		227 880			
Working group meetings 11.9		20 000		20 221			
Strategy meetings/Horizon 2014 15 9		40 000		46 087			
Summer university 2011		30 000		47 288			
Local Councillors Initiatives		30 000		17 707			

GEWD MED Baltic, North sea and GIN network Individual supporters initiatives Other meetings FYEG 2,5% eligible costs FYEG office grant FYEG projects  4.2. Participation in seminars and conferences EGP participation in Global Greens event Contribution to Global Greens Green Group meetings Fact finding missions Other participation	15 631 3 402 27 460 5 044 22 040 2 600 6 803 43 61 18 522 10 000 1 202 13 887	15 000 15 000 20 000 5 000 39 000 8 000 10 000 5 000 15 000	67 500	1 031 3 543 9 694 10 844 39 000 7 800 5 999 29 468 10 000	51 028	
4.3. Representation costs	3 80	1	5 000		2 977	
Expences committee members	3 801	5 000		2 977		
4.4. Costs of invitations Invitations	-	2 000	2 000		-	
4.5. Other meeting-related costs	9 18		9 500		7 500	
Other costs	1 685	2 000				
CO2 compensation	7 500	7 500		7 500		
Catagory 5: Information and publications	28 29	0	117 000		170 653	146% 5
Category 5: Information and publications 5.1. Publications costs	20 23	<u> </u>	35 000			140%
01111 451104110110 00010					23 402	
Other publications (party directory etc.)		10 000	05 000	13 216	23 402	
Other publications (party directory etc.) Publications working groups		10 000 5 000	00 000	13 216 553	23 402	
			03 000		23 402	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns		5 000 20 000				
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites	12 83	5 000 20 000 4	40 000	553 9 633	23 402 99 804	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence	9 609	5 000 20 000 4 10 000		553 9 633 5 495		
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development	9 609 3 225	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000	40 000	553 9 633	99 804	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development  5.3. Publicity costs	9 609 3 225 <b>15 4</b> 6	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000		553 9 633 5 495 94 308		
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development	9 609 3 225	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000	40 000	553 9 633 5 495	99 804	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development  5.3. Publicity costs Publicity campaigns	9 609 3 225 <b>15 4</b> 6	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000 4 20 000	40 000	553 9 633 5 495 94 308	99 804	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development  5.3. Publicity costs Publicity campaigns Other campaign costs  5.4. Communications equipment (gadgets)  5.5. Seminars	9 609 3 225 <b>15 4</b> 6	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000 4 20 000 10 000	40 000 30 000	553 9 633 5 495 94 308 12 671	99 804 12 671	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development  5.3. Publicity costs Publicity campaigns Other campaign costs  5.4. Communications equipment (gadgets)  5.5. Seminars  5.6. Exhibitions	9 609 3 225 <b>15 4</b> 6	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000 4 20 000 10 000	40 000 30 000 10 000 - -	553 9 633 5 495 94 308 12 671	99 804 12 671	
Publications working groups Publications projects Promoting materials campaigns  5.2. Creation and operation of Internet sites Maintainence Development  5.3. Publicity costs Publicity campaigns Other campaign costs  5.4. Communications equipment (gadgets)  5.5. Seminars	9 609 3 225 <b>15 4</b> 6	5 000 20 000 4 10 000 30 000 4 20 000 10 000	40 000 30 000	553 9 633 5 495 94 308 12 671	99 804 12 671	

Category 6: Expenditure relating to		30 000		60 000		34 136		6
contributions in kind	30 000		60 000		34 136			
Category 7: Allocations		240 812				177 924		7
Carry over to first quarter of next year	240 812				177 924			
Total Eligible Expenditure	1 248 234	1 242 234	<u>1 600 500</u>	<u>1 600 500</u>	<u>1 779 246</u>	<u>1 779 246</u>	111%	
Non-eligible expenditure								
1. Provisions		170 000		125 000		203 648		
Campaign fund	55 000		55 000		75 000			
Social Fund	65 000		40 000		40 000			
Property fund	50 000		30 000		30 000			
Congress fund					58 648			
2. Financial charges	890	890	1 000	1 000	1 172	1 172		
3. Exchange losses	6	6	500	500	5	5		
4. Doubtful debts	1 000	1 000	-	-	-	-		
5. Others	6 155	6 155	11 000	11 000	417	417		
Total non-eligible expenditure	178 051	178 051	<u>137 500</u>	<u>137 500</u>	205 241	<u>205 241</u>	149%	8
TOTAL BUDGET EXPENDITURE	1 426 285	1 420 285	1 738 000	<u>1 738 000</u>	1 984 487	<u>1 984 487</u>	114%	
<u>Revenue</u>								
European Parliament Grant		1 054 999		1 298 539		1 298 539	100%	9
	1 054 999		1 298 539		1 298 539			
Dissolution						240 812		9
Provision from 2010 to cover eligible costs for the first qu	arter				240 812			
Own resources		365 286		418 000		445 136	106%	9
Membership fees	268 420		280 000		284 200			
Donations	_							
Supporters' fees	27 475		20 000		10 353			
Participation fees	35 513		50 000		61 124			
Financial income	177				5 648			
Extraordinary income	1 101							
Contributions in kind	30 000		60 000		34 136			
Own resources earmarked to cover non-eligible expendit	ure							

Invoiced costs	2 600		8 000		49 676		
TOTAL REVENUES	1 420 285	1 420 285	<u>1 716 539</u>	1 716 539	1 984 487	1 984 487	116%
		-	-	21 461		- 0	
85% eligible costs (maximum grand Eur Parl)	1 060 999		1 360 425		1 512 359		
15% revenu (Minimum for own resources)	187 235	187 235	240 075	280 500	266 887	480 707	
						213 820	
						210 020	

# **European Green Party AISBL**

INCOME STATEMENT	2011	2010
REVENUES		
Grant from European parliament	1298539	1054999
Membership-, observer- and supporters fees	285941	295895
Other income	153547	69214
TOTAL REVENUE	1738027	1420108
EXPENDITURE		
External costs	1136378	622255
GROSS OPERATING MARGIN	601650	797854
Salaries, wages, social expenses and pensions	448533	364602
Depreciations on assets	16259	14566
Provisions for risks and liabilities	-80925	240812
Other operating expenses	8363	6832
OPERATING PROFIT	209420	171042
Financial revenues	5648	177
Financial expenses	1329	896
CURRENT PROFIT	213739	170323
Extraordinary revenues	0	14796
Extraordinary expenses	10091	15119
PROFIT OF CURRENT ACCOUNTING YEAR	203648	170000

# **European Green Party AISBL**

BALANCE SHEET	2011	2010
ASSETS		
Fixed assets total	81951	64056
Tangible assets		
Office equipment	52451	52301
Long-term investments		
Guarantees	29500	11755
Command accepts to take	066763	742560
Current assets total	866762	712569
Short- term receivables	374148	247332
Cash assets	479419	457113
Accruals	13195	8124
TOTAL ASSETS	948714	776625
LIABILITIES AND OWN RECOURSES		
Own resources		
Initial funds	68927	68927
Reserves	473648	270000
Profit carried forward	25261	25261
Provisions	177924	258849
Total own resources	745760	623038
Liabilities		
Short-term liabilities	202954	127187
Accruals	0	26400
Total liabilities	202954	153587
TOTAL LIABILITIES AND OWN RECOURCES	948714	776625





Lena Lindström Treasurer EGP, 3 May 2012

# To the European Green Party Council in Copenhagen

## Memorandum on Accounts for 2011

We have a positive outcome of 2011, the total result of the year is before reservations a surplus of 381 572  $\in$ . In Tallinn last year we decided to make a provision of 125 000  $\in$  to our funds. If we deduct that we still have 256 572  $\in$  as surplus. The surplus emanates partly from the fact that we had an extra income in the carry-over of 240812  $\in$  from 2010 since we didn't change the budget accordingly. But we also have a small income surplus on our own resources of 27136  $\in$  compared to budget.

#### **Notes**

#### I. Personnel costs - 10 %

We have 10 % less personnel costs in total. This is due to the fact that we had budgeted for a full year position as office director. This position was not finalized until spring 2012. Salaries on 1.1 are lower also because we have 50 835 on category 1.5 for our media consultant. On 1.5 we also have a bigger amount than budgeted for other expenses for Secretary General. This is because we have decided that we pay for some expenses for the Secretary General instead of the total decided salary. Thus a reduction in salary exchanged for payments for costs.

## 2. Infrastructure and operating costs - 15 %

We have now moved in to all areas of the planned office. The costs for renovation and furnishing of the new parts of the office were not as expensive as estimated and the budget for other infrastructure costs on 2.7 therefore was not fully used. On the other hand we have had to invest in new computers and some other technical equipment which has given a higher cost for depreciations on 2.3. We didn't use the budget of 20 000 € for translations this year that budget.

### 3. Administrative costs - 61 %

The budget for archive on 3.1 wasn't used since we didn't have time to work on it. The costs for database development on 3.1 was moved to internet development under category 5.2 since it all came as a package. The budget for costs for studies and research 3.2 was not used this year.





We have asked for more legal advice, 3.3, for example the media consultancy contract and the new day allowances for the Committee.

On 3.4 the accounting and audit costs are higher since the turnover of our organization is growing. We have asked for more economic reports. We also had the carry-over from 2010 that needed to report to EP. That meant that the first quarter report of 2011 had to be extra audited and supplied to the EP with the final report of 2010.

## 4. Meeting and representation costs + 23 %

Both the Council and the Congress overrun their initial allocated budgets. The Committee aims to arrange these events professionally and the number of participants has grown. The Committee decided to raise the budget for the Congress since it was impossible to arrange it with the initially allocated budget and the result is within that budget limit. A large part of the costs where provided by the French Greens.

Our efforts to support Green parties under the label of Horizon 2014 went a little above budget with support and travel to this member parties.

Summer University was more expensive then budget since EGP arranged several seminars on it's own during this event. On the other hand the Local Councilors used less then budget.

Our different networks did not have very much activity during 2011.

Other meetings include support for ENGS' (European Green Seniors) board meetings. From 2012 they are formally a network within EGP.

FYEG received 5999 € extra for projects at the end of the year when the Committee saw there where money that we could allocate.

We used less for Global Greens participation then we had anticipated. This was because most of the costs for the Congress in Dakar came during first quarter of 2012. We had expected more during 2011. We have together with Green Forum Foundation Sweden and Australian Greens financed the Event manager for the Global Greens Congress. The work has been done from our office in Brussels. The salary is on the budget for salaries extra staff and all other costs on this budget line.

## 5. Information and publications + 46 %

The main task during 2011 has been to develop our new website and database and the costs for this is a total of 94 308 € and we had a total budget of 30 000 + 50 000 for the database. To use more was an active decision when we realized that we had more money available from the





carry-over. This is an important investment for a European organization that wants to communicate effectively with all their member parties and individuals.

The costs for gadgets and other communications equipment are a bit higher than budget since we really wanted to be visible in both Budapest and at the Paris congress.

#### 6. Contribution in kind - 43 %

The budget is lower both in costs and income since we didn't receive information on this from the French Greens after the congress.

#### 7. Allocations

This is grant from the EP 2011 that was not used during the year and that can be used instead during the first quarter of 2012. This is one reason that we propose changes to the budget for 2012.

### 8. Non-eligible expenditure

The costs that are not eligible (not covered by the EP grant) are for example financial costs, taxes, costs from previous years that arrives too late to be booked in the right years account and extra depreciations on investments. The net cost is low in the report for 2011 because of a dissolution of a provision. For the allocation to reserves see below under point 10 results.

#### 9. Income + 16 %

Own resources is up with 6 % compared to budget. A little higher membership fees compared to budget and quite a bit higher than 2010. The participation fees are higher because of the Congress.

Invoiced costs is FYEG rent 7 800 €, HBS and GEF for Congress 11 458,76 €, Dutch Greens for ISN work 18 000 €. There is also as mentioned the contributions from Green Forum Sweden, 5 000 €, and the Australian Greens for the Global Greens congress event manager, 7 417 €.

The biggest extra income is the carry-over from 2010 of 240 812 €.





#### Result

The result is as mentioned a total surplus of 381 572 € before allocations.

Following the EP rules that we only can do reserves out of our own resources we can use 203 648 € of the surplus to allocate to the funds. The 2011 budget decision was to put 125 000 € to the funds so there is an additional option to reserve an extra amount of 78 648€. The Committee proposes therefore that 20 000 € extra is reserved for the Campaign fund. We also propose according to the decision at Paris Congress to start a Congress fund and that we do that already 2011 with a reservation for 58 648 €.

## The Committee proposal for reservations in the Accounts of 2011

Decided allocations	in the budget	New proposal	Total reserves by end 2011
Campaign fund	55000	75000	180000
Social fund	40000	40000	130000
Property fund	30000	30000	80000
Congress fund (New!	)	58648	58648
Total	125000	203648	448648

This leaves us with 177 924 € that we propose to take with us as a carry-over to the first quarter of 2012 according to European Parliament rules.

## The Committee proposes to the Council to:

- To install a Congress fund as from 2011
- To allocate the result as proposed above

Corrective auditor's report of International Non Profit Association "Fédération des Partis Verts Européens" in English "European Federation Green Parties"



accounting - auditing - consulting

Rue de la Clef 39 - B-4633 Soumagne T: +32 87 68 66 83 - F: +32 87 30 56 40 liege@bakertillybelgium.be www.bakertillybelgium.be

According to the audit mandate, we have audited the financial statements of the party prepared by its accountant for the year ending 31/12/2011 and which shows a balance sheet total of 948.713,69 € and a profit for the year of 203.648,00 €, after provision for carry-over for costs on first quarter 2012 of 177.924,00 €, as laid out on the two pages of this document.

## Respective responsibilities of the party and the auditors

The party is responsible to the European Parliament for the use of the grant and must comply with the provisions of the Regulation EC (No) 2004/2003 and the underlying acts. It is further responsible for the preparation of its annual financial statements.

We have the responsibility to plan and carry out the required work to verify the financial statements prepared by the party and to report to the party with a reasonable assurance our audit opinions.

# **Basis of Opinions**

We conducted the audit in accordance with International Standards on Auditing issued by the IAASB. This standard requires the auditor to plan and carry out his work in a way to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidences and explanations to support his audit opinions. An audit includes an examination, on a sample basis, of evidence relevant to these opinions.

The audit work included specific procedures to gather sufficient and appropriate audit evidence that:

- The financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the national legislation applicable to the party, are free of material misstatement and show a true and fair view of the financial position and the operating results;
- The financial documents submitted by the party to Parliament are consistent with the financial provisions of the grant agreement;
- The expenditure declared was actually incurred;
- The statement of revenue is exhaustive;
- The obligations arising out of Articles 6, 7, 8, 9 (2) and 10 (2) of Regulation (EC) N°2004/2003 have been met;

Baker Tilly Belgium Dorthu, Réviseurs d'entreprises SCRL TVA BE 0468.517.819 - RPM Verviers

ING: BE71 3401 3111 8069 - BIC: BBRUBEBB

an independent member of BAKER TILLY INTERNATIONAL

- The obligations arising out of Article 109 (4) of the Financial Regulation have been met;
- The obligations arising from Article II.12 *Eligible expenditure* of the grant agreement have been met;

## **Opinions**

## In our opinion:

- The financial statements, which have been prepared in accordance with the national legislation applicable to the beneficiary, are free of material misstatement and show a true and fair view of the financial position and the operating results;
- The financial documents submitted by the party to Parliament are consistent with the financial provisions of the grant agreement;
- The expenditure declared was actually incurred;
- The statement of revenue is exhaustive;
- The obligations arising out of Articles 6, 7, 8, 9 (2) and 10 (2) of Regulation (EC) N°2004/2003 have been met;
- The obligations arising from the Bureau decision have been met;
- A surplus of 177.924,00 € in relation with the budget 2011 has been carried over to the next financial year. We have conducted a specific additional audit performed in accordance with International Standards on Auditing issued by the IAASB to obtain sufficient and appropriate evidence about the expenses effectively met during the first quarter of the year 2012. In our opinion, costs recognized as expenses during the first quarter of the year 2012 by "European Federation Green Parties" were higher than the amount of the provision for "carry-over". By consequence, we confirm that the amount booked as provision for "carry-over" as at 31/12/2011 has been used during the first quarter of the following financial year pursuant to article 6a of the Bureau decision.
- The obligations arising out of Article 109 (4) of the Financial Regulation have been met;
- The obligations arising from Article II.12 *Eligible expenditure* of the grant agreement have been met;
- Contributions in kind have actually been provided to the party and have been valued in compliance with the provisions of Article II.12.4 of the grant agreement;

BAKER TILLY Belgium • We have received all necessary explanations for the purpose of our work.

Opinion specifically required by the Belgian legislation:

- We conducted our audit in accordance with the standards of the Institute of Auditors (Institut des Reviseurs d'Entreprises). Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement, taking into account the legal and regulatory requirements applicable to financial statements in Belgium.
- In accordance with those standards, we considered the association's administrative and accounting organization, as well as its internal control procedures. Non-profit association's officials have responded clearly to our requests for explanations and information.
- An audit includes examining, on a test basis, evidence supporting the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. An audit also includes assessing accounting principles used and significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluation the overall financial statement presentation.
   We believe that our audit provides a reasonable basis for our opinion.
- In our opinion, taking into account the applicable legal and regulatory requirements, the financial statements give a true and fair view of the non-profit association's assets, liabilities, financial position as of December 31<sup>st</sup> 2011, and the results of its operations for the year the ended, and the information given in.

Soumagne, April, 26th 2012

Baker Tilly Belgium Dorthu, Réviseurs d'Entreprises S.C.R.L. Represented by Anne DORTHU,

Associée

