## LogD Cheat Sheet

influence of substituents on $\Delta$ LogD and expected "lipophilic potency"

| $\begin{gathered} \text {-H changed } \\ \text { to }-R \\ R= \end{gathered}$ | median $\Delta$ LogD* (\# of matched pairs) | $x$-fold change in affinity/potency expected from lipophilicity alone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Me | 0.30 (8458) | 2 x gain |
| Et | 0.72 (634) | 5 x gain |
| $n-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 1.05 (66) | 11x gain |
| $i-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 1.10 (419) | 13x gain |
| t-Bu | 1.30 (88) | 20x gain |
| $\mathrm{CHF}_{2}$ | 0.40 (260) | $3 x$ gain |
| $\mathrm{CF}_{3}$ | 0.90 (899) | 8 x gain |
| Ph | 1.40 (570) | 25 x gain |
| CN | -0.28 (1092) | 2 x loss |
| F | 0.2 (4249) | 2 x gain |
| Cl | 0.6 (7782) | 4 x gain |
| Br | 0.9 (356) | 8 x gain |
| 1 | 1.1 (35) | 13x gain |
| $\Delta$ | 0.9 (586) | 8 x gain |
| $0$ | 1.30 (88) | 20x gain |
| OH | -0.70 (1224) | $4 \times$ loss |
| \% OH | -0.60 (560) | $3 \times$ loss |
|  | -2.98 (106) | 1000x loss |
|  | -1.90 (62) | 100x loss |


| $\begin{gathered} \text {-H changed } \\ \text { to -R } \\ R= \end{gathered}$ | median $\Delta$ LogD* (\# of matched pairs) | $x$-fold change in affinity/potency expected from lipophilicity alone |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| OMe | -0.05 (1579) | Insignificant |
| OEt | 0.40 (100) | $3 x$ gain |
| SMe | 0.45 (30) | $3 x$ gain |
| Oi-Pr | 0.85 (76) | 7 x gain |
| $\mathrm{OCF}_{3}$ | 1.00 (138) | 10x gain |
| $\mathrm{OCHF}_{2}$ | 0.50 (88) | 3 x gain |
| 苂 | -1.00 (76) | 10x loss |
| $\stackrel{H}{N}_{0}^{K}$ | -0.60 (139) | $3 \times$ loss |
| oivi | -0.90 (192) | 10x loss |
|  | -1.10 (269) | $13 \times$ loss |
|  | -0.50 (140) | $3 \times$ loss |
|  | -0.98 (148) | 10x loss |
|  | -1.90 (53) | 100x loss |
|  | -0.20 (278) | 2 x loss |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{2}$ | -2.33 (72) | 200x loss |
| - ${ }^{\text {N }}$ | -1.10 (57) | $13 \times$ loss |

*data reported in M. Landry and J. Crawford, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, 1, 72-76
expected changes to LogD from phenyl-group replacements

$$
\Delta \Delta \log D=\left(\Delta \log D_{\text {H-to-R }}-\Delta \log D_{\text {H-to-Ph }}\right)
$$

| Heterocycle | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \text { LogD* } \\ & (\Delta \Delta \text { LogD }) \end{aligned}$ | expt'd $\Delta$ potency H to R (Ph to R) | Heterocycle | $\begin{aligned} & \Delta \text { LogD* } \\ & (\Delta \Delta \text { LogD }) \end{aligned}$ | expt'd $\Delta$ potency H to R (Ph to R) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.80 \\ & (-2.20) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 x \text { loss } \\ & \text { (160x loss) } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.20 \\ (-1.20) \end{gathered}$ | 1.6x gain (16x loss) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $3 x$ gain ( $8 \times$ loss) |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | 5x gain ( $5 \times$ loss) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.90 \\ (-0.50) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8 x \text { gain } \\ (3 x \text { loss }) \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 0.05 \\ (-1.35) \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { similar } \\ (22 \times \text { loss }) \end{gathered}$ |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & -0.20 \\ & (-1.60) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 x \text { loss } \\ & (40 x \text { loss }) \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 1.20 \\ (-0.20) \end{gathered}$ | 16x gain (1.6x loss) |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 0.50 \\ (-0.90) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 x \text { gain } \\ \text { (8x loss) } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 0.70 \\ (-0.70) \end{gathered}$ | $5 x$ gain ( $5 \times$ loss) |
| drug hunter |  |  | *data reported in M. Landry and J. Crawford, ACS Med. Chem. Lett. 2020, 11, 1, 72-76 drughunter.com |  |  |

