# Appendix 1: References

BC Forest Safety (2015) *Slope Logging Resource Package – Assessing Risks and Planning, Mechanical Harvesting on Steep Slopes.* Version 4

FPInnovations (2018) Winch-Assist Harvester: Best Practice Manual. Special Paper SP-533

FPInnovations, Amishev, Hunt and Boswell (2019) *Best Management Practices For Winch-Assist Equipment Version 2* 

FPInnovations, Steep Slope Initiative. (2017) 'Wire Rope Integrity In Winch-Assisted Harvesting Operations – A Guide To Wire Rope Handling And Inspection For Machine Operators

Hancock Forest Management (2017) *Interim Best Practice Guideline – Cable Assisted Steep Slope Harvesting* 

Parker, FPInnovations (2017) *The Effect of Machine Position on Stability when Operating on Steep Slopes*. Tech report no. 60.

Pedofsky and Visser (2019) Assessment of Winch-Assist Skidder In Gisborne, New Zealand

Rayonier Matariki Forests (2020) *Safe System of Work Production Tree Felling (incl. Winch-Assisted).* Version 2

Safetree (undated) Steep slope risk assessment - Risk identification and assessment form

Washington State Department of Labor & Industries, (2019) *Best Management and Operating Practices for Steep Slope Machine Logging*. Technical Report Number 98-02-2019

Worksafe NZ (2016) Fact Sheet - Winch-Assisted Harvesting On Steep Slopes

Visser, Rayonier Matariki report (2018) *Lead angle for Winch-Assist operations – a Review of Practices and Limits* 

Visser, Raymond and Harrill (2014) 'Mechanised Steep Terrain Harvesting Options' NZ Journal of Forestry, November 2014, Vol 59, No.3

Visser (2019) Steep Terrain and Winch-Assist Harvesting Workshop

## Appendix 2: Examples of steep slope risk assessments

A steep slope risk assessment document is a systematic system that both guides risk and mitigation of risk, as well as records that a formal risk assessment has been undertaken. Two examples are provided here. The second is a simplified version of the first.

### Steep slope harvesting risk assessment<sup>1</sup>

This form is to be used in conjunction with the ACOP, the company safety plan, as well as the contractor's health and safety plan. It should be completed for a Harvest Setting when:

- 1. Any equipment is going to be operated on soils with low strength (e.g. very wet, or very loose) and on dominant slopes over 40% (22 deg), or
- 2. A crawler tractor, or a basic excavator base with grapple / felling/ processing head is going to be operated on dominant slopes over 40% (22 deg), or
- 3. Forestry equipment specifically designed for use on slopes (e.g. self-levellers; high and wide with custom grousers) is going to be operated on dominant slopes over 50% (27 deg).

The dominant slope for the harvest setting is \_\_\_\_ % / deg (cross out unit that does not apply).

Logging Contractor: \_\_\_\_\_ Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Forest / Compartment: \_\_\_\_\_\_ Harvest Area/ Landing: \_\_\_\_\_

<sup>1</sup> DRAFT developed by R. Visser (SOF, UC) and Wayne Dempster (Rayonier) March 2017 based on consideration of (a) the BC FSC Steep Slope Logging Resource Package, (b) the SafeTree Steep Slope Risk Assessment form, and (c) NZ operating conditions.

### Practices and Controls:

Compounding Steep Slope Risk Factors:			If YES – what practice to eliminate or minimise risk is required:
Unstable ground (e.g. fill slopes, slips, slumps) covering more than 25% of area.	YES	NO	
Ground roughness (e.g. boulders, rocky outcrops, depressions) covering more than 25% of area.	YES	NO	
Shallow soil over bedrock, or exposed areas of bedrock covering more than 25% of area.	YES	NO	
Wind-throw covering more than 25% of area.	YES	NO	
High stumps, and or deep slash that can interfere with machine operations.	YES	NO	
Large trees (i.e. > 3m³) that are difficult to handle on slope.	YES	NO	

#### List other risk factors:

Mapping / Planning:			
Where feasible, are the above steep slope risks identified on the map?	YES	NO	
Are all areas over 40% (22 deg), 50% (27 deg) identified on the harvest planning map?	YES	NO	
Are contiguous areas > 800m² over 100% (450) identified as machine no-go areas?	YES	NO	
Machines to Operate on Steep Slope:			
Description	Will it be winch- assisted?		Features for working on steep slopes: (e.g. tilting cab; extended grouses; extended tracks; chains or belts on wheels; telescoping boom)
1:	YES	NO	
	YES	NO	

### Operator Training, Competency, Fatigue and Communication

Operators that will be operating under this risk assessment are:

(1)	(2)		(3)		_	
Do the operator(s) have standards to operate slope identified for the s	on the dominant	YES	NO			
Have the operator(s) competent to operate slope identified for th	e on the dominant	YES	NO			
Fatigue – In addition what steps are taken focussed on the task?	to ensure the operato					
Isolation – Communic at a frequency of n		?)	; Check-ir	n with (name)		
Assistance – What ec available to assist the in case of a breakdow	machine on slope					
Weather – The suitab slopes should be reco events, high winds or conditions. Who is res	nsidered after rainfal other adverse weath	l				
Site – Any site specifi	c requirements and n	otes:				
Signatures						
This form is accurate	to the best of my kno	wledge	:	Date:		
Person completing ris	k assessment:					
Operator Name:						
Operator Name:						
Foreman / Contractor	s (counter-sign if ope	rator is a	assessor)			



## Steep slope risk assessment

Risk identification and assessment form.

Forest owner:	Logging contractor:	Date:
Forest:	Compartment:	
Mean tree height:	Tree species:	

Steep slope risk assessment and identification table

RISKS	LOW RISK	MEDIUM RISK	HIGH RISK	Comments		
Slope and slope length (tracked machine)	□ 22° to 27° and slope length <50 metres	□ 22° to 27° and slope length >50 metres	□ >27° and slope length >10 metres			
Slope and slope length (wheeled machine)	□ 19° to 24° and slope length <50 metres	□ 19° to 24° and slope length >50 metres	□ >24° and slope length >10 metres			
Terrain stability/ classification	□ No instability indicators and slopes <27°	□ Instability indicators and slopes <27°	□ Slopes >27°			
Ground roughness: boulders, outcrops, depressions	<17° of steep slope area covered by roughness features	□ <17° to 27° of steep slope area covered by roughness features	>27° of steep slope area covered by roughness features			
Soils	□ Well drained (e.g. gravel, coarse sand)	□ Moderately drained (fine sand, silt indicators of sub- surface flows)	□ Poorly drained or staurated (clay, silt) high water table			
Soil depth	□ >30 cm to bedrock	□ 15 to 30 cm to bedrock	□ Thin soil (less than 15 cm) or bedrock exposures			
Pre-existing and post harvest debris	□ Open understory, not windthrow	□ Moderate windthrow, understory, stumps <30 cm	<ul> <li>Heavy windthrow, understory, stumps</li> <li>&gt;30 cm</li> </ul>			
Human factors: State of mind	implement, confidence, s	Consider operator focus, alertness, understanding of plan and how to implement, confidence, stress level, physical and mental workplace distractions, well fed and well rested. AVOID complacency, fatique, rushing				
Risk ranking	Does the operator have adequate training and experience to complete this work? Has the operator demonstrated successful operations using this machine on sites with similar attributes and timber?					
-	work? Has the operator o	lemonstrated successful op	perations using this			
-	work? Has the operator o	lemonstrated successful op	perations using this			
Operator competency	work? Has the operator of machine on sites with sin	lemonstrated successful op nilar attributes and timber?	perations using this			
Operator competency Risk ranking	work? Has the operator of machine on sites with sin	lemonstrated successful op nilar attributes and timber?	perations using this			
Operator competency Risk ranking Duration of exposure	work? Has the operator of machine on sites with sin	lemonstrated successful op nilar attributes and timber? □ or be working on a specific mber of consecutive shift d	perations using this			

