Alice Ryhl Software Engineer @ Google

Rust in the Linux Kernel



RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

INTRODUCTION

- Rust for Linux was started in 2020.
- The first Rust code was merged into Linux in December 2022.
- There are several Rust drivers, but none have been merged yet.

Why use Rust in the Kernel?



RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

Why use Rust in the Kernel?

If you have a very large (millions of lines of code) codebase, written in a memory-unsafe programming language (such as C or C++), you can expect at least 65% of your security vulnerabilities to be caused by memory unsafety.

— Alex Gaynor

Why use Rust in the Kernel?

Also true for the Kernel:

"65% of CVEs behind the last six months of Ubuntu security updates to the Linux kernel have been memory unsafety."

Most vulnerabilities are in new code



Empirical evidence that Rust makes a difference

Memory unsafe code and Memory safety vulnerabilities



Rust projects in the Kernel



RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

Rust projects in the Kernel

- Android Binder driver
- PuzzleFS and TarFS
- Asahi Linux GPU driver
- NVMe and Null block driver
- Asix PHY ethernet driver



Android Binder driver



RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023





Security issues in Binder

1	

2

High vulnerability density

Binder's density is around 3.1 vulnerabilities per kLOC.

Not getting better

Binder has averaged ~3 high/critical severity vulnerabilities per year over the past 6 years.

Risk is not theoretical

We are aware of exploits for about half of the vulnerabilities in Binder.

Security critical

Even Android's most de-privileged sandboxes have direct access to Binder.



Rust Binder benchmarks





How is Kernel Rust different?



RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

Wrapping C



- Wrapping C
 - Kernel drivers need to access many different C apis.
 - For now, the driver author must write the C wrapper.
 - Requires a good understanding of unsafe Rust.



Workqueue example in Binder

```
impl workqueue::WorkItem for Process {
   type Pointer = Arc<Process>;
   fn run(me: Arc<Self>) {
        let defer;
            let mut inner = me.inner.lock();
            defer = inner.defer work;
            inner.defer work = 0;
        if defer & PROC DEFER FLUSH != 0 {
           me.deferred flush();
        if defer & PROC DEFER RELEASE != 0 {
           me.deferred release();
```

RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

No unsafe needed in Binder!

- C wrappers needed by Binder
 - Collections: Linked List, red/black tree, xarray.
 - Synchronization: Mutex, SpinLock, CondVar.
 - Memory management: Page manipulation.
 - Files: Manipulation of open files.
 - Workqueue: Execute code in the background.

Unsafe code in Binder

Safe Rust in Binder

Unsafe Rust in Binder



What about C wrappers?

You only have to get them right once, across all drivers.







We can't just crash if we run out of memory!

Box::try_new(value)?

RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

- Fallible allocations
 - Must be careful to clean up on allocation failure.
 - To make operations infallible, we allocate memory before we need it.
 - Linked list > Vec

- Fallible allocations
 - Must be careful to clean up on allocation failure.
 - To make operations infallible, we allocate memory before we need it.



```
Fallible allocations example in Binder
```

```
struct Allocation<T> {
    is oneway: bool,
   pid: Pid,
   data: Option<T>,
    free res: RBTreeNodeReservation<FreeKey, ()>,
```

- Fallible allocations
 - Must be careful to clean up on allocation failure.
 - To make operations infallible, we allocate memory before we need it.
 - Linked list > Vec

You can't always sleep

And allocating memory might sleep!



You can't always sleep

Two types of mutex:

• Mutex

lock() will sleep, allows sleeping

• Spinlock

lock() will not sleep, does not allow sleeping







```
fn get thread(self: ArcBorrow<' , Self>, id: i32) -> Result<Arc<Thread>>> {
        let inner = self.inner.lock();
        if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
            return Ok(thread.clone());
        }
    // Allocate a new `Thread` without holding any locks.
    let ta = Thread::new(id, self.into())?;
    let node = RBTree::try allocate node(id, ta.clone())?;
    let mut inner = self.inner.lock();
    // Recheck. It's possible the thread was created while we were not holding the lock.
    if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
        return Ok(thread.clone());
    inner.threads.insert(node);
    Ok(ta)
```

```
fn get thread(self: ArcBorrow<' , Self>, id: i32) -> Result<Arc<Thread>>> {
    { |
       let inner = self.inner.lock();
       if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
            return Ok(thread.clone());
   // Allocate a new `Thread` without holding any locks.
   let ta = Thread::new(id, self.into())?;
    let node = RBTree::try allocate node(id, ta.clone())?;
   let mut inner = self.inner.lock();
   // Recheck. It's possible the thread was created while we were not holding the lock.
   if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
       return Ok(thread.clone());
   inner.threads.insert(node);
   Ok(ta)
```

```
fn get thread(self: ArcBorrow<' , Self>, id: i32) -> Result<Arc<Thread>>> {
        let inner = self.inner.lock();
        if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
            return Ok(thread.clone());
        }
    // Allocate a new `Thread` without holding any locks.
    let ta = Thread::new(id, self.into())?;
    let node = RBTree::try allocate node(id, ta.clone())?;
    let mut inner = self.inner.lock();
    // Recheck. It's possible the thread was created while we were not holding the lock.
    if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
        return Ok(thread.clone());
    inner.threads.insert(node);
    Ok(ta)
```

```
fn get thread(self: ArcBorrow<' , Self>, id: i32) -> Result<Arc<Thread>>> {
        let inner = self.inner.lock();
        if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
            return Ok(thread.clone());
        ł
    // Allocate a new `Thread` without holding any locks.
    let ta = Thread::new(id, self.into())?;
    let node = RBTree::try allocate node(id, ta.clone())?;
    let mut inner = self.inner.lock();
    // Recheck. It's possible the thread was created while we were not holding the lock.
    if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
        return Ok(thread.clone());
    inner.threads.insert(node);
    Ok(ta)
```

```
fn get thread(self: ArcBorrow<' , Self>, id: i32) -> Result<Arc<Thread>>> {
        let inner = self.inner.lock();
        if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
            return Ok(thread.clone());
        }
    // Allocate a new `Thread` without holding any locks.
    let ta = Thread::new(id, self.into())?;
    let node = RBTree::try allocate node(id, ta.clone())?;
    let mut inner = self.inner.lock();
    // Recheck. It's possible the thread was created while we were not holding the lock.
    if let Some(thread) = inner.threads.get(&id) {
        return Ok(thread.clone());
    inner.threads.insert(node);
    Ok(ta)
```

You can't always sleep

We have a custom linter for catching sleeps in atomic contexts.

Pinning is not enough



Pinning is not enough

Normal pinning:

- Before first use, value may move around.
- Values are pinned on first use.



- Pinning is not enough
 - We use C types defined by the Kernel
 - Those C types require the value to be pinned immediately.
 - Done using special macro.



Pin-init example in Binder

```
Arc::pin_init(pin_init!(Thread {
    id,
    process,
    inner <- kernel::new spinlock!(ThreadInner::new()),
    work condvar <- kernel::new_poll_condvar!(),
    links <- ListLinks::new(),
    links_track <- AtomicListArcTracker::new(),
}))</pre>
```

Pin-init example in Binder

```
Arc::pin_init(pin_init!(Thread {
    id,
    process,
    inner <- kernel::new spinlock!(ThreadInner::new()),
    work condvar <- kernel::new_poll_condvar!(),
    links <- ListLinks::new(),
    links_track <- AtomicListArcTracker::new(),
}))</pre>
```

Pin-init example in Binder

```
Arc::pin init(pin init!(Thread {
    id,
    process,
    inner <- kernel::new spinlock!(ThreadInner::new()),</pre>
    work condvar <- kernel::new poll condvar!(),</pre>
    links <- ListLinks::new(),</pre>
    links track <- AtomicListArcTracker::new(),</pre>
}))
```

Unstable compiler features

#![feature(allocator_api)]
#![feature(coerce_unsized)]
#![feature(dispatch_from_dyn)]
#![feature(new_uninit)]
#![feature(offset_of)]
#![feature(ptr_metadata)]
#![feature(receiver_trait)]
#![feature(unsize)]



You cannot implement your own Arc in stable Rust.



Why use a custom Arc?

- Uses the Kernel's refcounting logic.
 - Don't abort on overflow!
- No weak references.
- All Arcs are pinned.

Unstable is also needed for:

- Custom Arc
- Fallible allocations
- Const evaluation
- offset_of! macro



Unstable compiler features

Unstable features is a problem for all embedded Rust code.

Call to action

Let's get embedded Rust off nightly Rust.

Thank you for listening



Alice Ryhl alice@ryhl.io

RUSTLAB The International Conference on Rust in Florence | November 19th, 2023 → November 21st, 2023

